

Richard Heuberger Nadja Lamei Statistics Austria Directorate Social Statistics

EU-SILC Conference, Lisbon 15th October 2014 Disaggregation of benefits and pensions variables

www.statistik.at

We provide information

Overview



• Background

- Disaggregation of variables
- Use of disaggregated variables
- \circ Conclusions



o Input

- Wish from EUROMOD to differentiate the income target variables for benefits and pensions by entitlement
- **Contributory**
- Non contributory and non means-tested
- Non contributory and means tested
- Differentiation is connected to different "realms":
 - Micro simulation models: EUROMOD, Soresi, ITABENE, etc.
 - ESSPROS classification of benefits and pensions
 - Welfare state typologies (e.g. Esping-Anderson)

Background II



- Microsimulation models
 - Construct models based on micro datasets
 - Allow for structural variations and variations in time:
 - Analyses of reforms, structural changes
 - \circ Nowcast and forecast models
- Require detailed information on income structure \rightarrow variables
- Differentiated information enable models that are more precise, more differentiated, more sophisticated

Background III



- ESSPROS provides an "accounting system" / statistical system for social protection for EU MS
- ESSPROS classification provides the framework for the income target variables of EU-SILC
- Differentiates between means-tested / non means-tested benefits and contributory / general benefits and pensions
- Other distinctions in ESSPROS:
 - Compulsory and non compulsory schemes
 - Basic and supplementary schemes

0

 Hence, ESSPROS is the main frame for the differentiation exercise

Disaggregation of variables I



- Differentiation of benefits and pensions along the system of entitlement
- Allocation of income variable to the differentiated set of income target variables
- **Problems may arise:**
 - In general categories ("Other family related benefits") → mixture of benefits with possibly different eligibilities
 - For benefits whose eligibility cut across the distinction between the three types (unemployment assistance in Austria: contributory, since the eligibility is conditional on contributions, but means-tested)

Disaggregation of variables II



• Austrian variables within the framework

Var.Name	Contributory	non-contributory and non means-tested	non-contributory and means- tested
PY090N/G	Unemployment benefit transition benefit	Vocational training allowance; other training allowances	Unemployment assistance, other unemployment benefits
PY100N/G	old-age pension, invalidity pension, accident benefit	care allowance	
PY110N/G		survivor's benefits (pension insurance and accident insurance)	
PY120N/G	Private accident insurance, private illness insurance, sickness benefit, sickness benefit for unemployed	care allowance	
PY130N/G	invalidity pension, accident benefit	care allowance	
PY140N/G			Scholarships, pupils benefits, other education related benefits
HY050N/G		Maternity allowance, family allowance, child care beenfit, advancement for child support	Other family related benefits
HY060N/G			Means-tested minimum income, other social benefits
HY070N/G			Housing allowance

slide 7 | 15th October 2014

Disaggregation of variables III



• Outcomes: Income model of EU-SILC

Income	Income Target variables	Households	Median	Sum (in Mio.)
Income from Employment	PY010+HY010	2.413.354	28.114	79.537
Income from Selfemployment	PY050	652.743	10.800	10.922
Benefits and Pensions 1 - contributory	PY091,PY101,PY111,PY121,PY131,PY141,HY051,HY061,HY071	2.135.716	12.446	34.145
Benefits and Pensions 2 - non-means-tested	PY092,PY102,PY112,PY122,PY132,PY142,HY052,HY062,HY072	1.698.035	4.788	10.722
Benefits and Pensions 3 - means-tested	PY093,PY103,PY113,PY123,PY133,PY143,HY053,HY063,HY073	572.471	2.735	2.511
Private Incomes	PY080,HY080,HY090,HY040	2.932.538	200	5.461
Repayments/Receipts for tax adjustment	HY145	1.870.632	-448	-924
Inter-household cash transfer paid	HY130	400.579	3.000	1.660
				142.562
Total disposable household income	HY020	3.701.302	33.229	142.562
S: Statistics Austria, EU-SILC 2013				

- Benefits and pensions constitute one third of the households income
- Main component are Contributory benefits and pensions (72% of the total sum, 57% of all households receiving an income
- Means tested benefits only for 15% of the households, less than 2% of the household income

Use of variables I



- Analysis of household income composition and redistributive effect of benefits and pensions
- Calculation of variables analogous to HY022 and HY023:
 - HY020_1 = HY020 All benefits and pensions
 - HY020_2 = HY020 All non contributory benefits and pensions
 - HY020_3 = HY020 non contributory and non means-tested benefits and pensions
- Based on these variables at-risk-of-poverty rates can be calculated



• Composition of household income by household type

	Income from Employment	Income from Self employment	Benefits and Pensions 1 - contributory		Benefits and Pensions 3 - means- tested	Private Incomes
Total	58%	8%	22%	8%	2%	4%
Households with pension	5%	1%	85%	6%	1%	4%
Single person household male	1%	1%	89%	6%	1%	4%
Single person household female	1%	1%	80%	15%	1%	3%
Multi-person household	6%	2%	85%	4%	1%	4%
Households without pension	69%	9%	8%	8%	2%	4%
Single person household male	72%	14%	8%	2%	4%	3%
Single person household female	56%	4%	11%	20%	3%	6%
Multi-person household without children	71%	8%	13%	3%	1%	4%
Households with children	68%	10%	4%	12%	2%	3%
Single parent household	50%	7%	4%	18%	8%	12%
Multi-person household + 1 child	75%	10%	4%	8%	1%	3%
Multi-person household + 2 children	70%	9%	4%	12%	1%	3%
Multi-person household + 3 and more children	54%	14%	5%	19%	4%	3%

S: Statistics Austria, EU-SILC 2013

- Contributory benefits and pensions main importance for pensioners
- Importance of non means-tested benefits for families (family benefits) and single females, means-tested benefits for single parents, single person households and families with 3 and more children

Use of variables III



• Distributive effect of different entitlement principles

8.369 1.538 147 300		21%	16% 14%	14%
147			14%	
	97%			14%
300		16%	12%	11%
000	99%	42%	25%	24%
1.092	94%	16%	12%	11%
6.830	33%	26%	17%	15%
446	33%	26%	24%	21%
465	53%	50%	28%	25%
1.996	23%	13%	11%	9%
3.923	35%	29%	18%	15%
325	56%	56%	38%	27%
1.441	22%	17%	11%	11%
1.453	30%	22%	12%	11%
705	60%	58%		28%
	465 1.996 3.923 325 1.441 1.453	1.99623%3.92335%32556%1.44122%1.45330%	46553%50%1.99623%13%3.92335%29%32556%56%1.44122%17%1.45330%22%	46553%50%28%1.99623%13%11%3.92335%29%18%32556%56%38%1.44122%17%11%1.45330%22%12%

S: Statistics Austria, EU-SILC 2013

- Contributory and non-means tested benefits and pensions have a similar effect: reduction of AROP by 9 percentage points – pensions, unemployment benefits and family related benefits
- Means-tested benefits reduce the AROP by 2 percentage points, mainly of importance for single parents and families with 3 and more children

Conclusions



- Some problems with the differentiation
 - $\circ~$ Benefits across the logic of entitlement scheme
 - Benefits summed up in variables
- Requires expansion of documentation parallel to ESSPROSS
 - Main work in the initial year, but need for continuous updates!
- Benefits for further research
 - Micro simulation models!
 - Differentiated look on welfare states
 - Possibility for the analysis of distributive effect of benefits and pensions on household level
- The future regulation should include variables analogue to HY022, HY023 constructed on the basis of the new variables



Please address queries to: Richard Heuberger Richard.heuberger@statistik.gv.at

Thank you for your attention!

Contact information: Guglgasse 13, 1110 Vienna

www.statistik.at

slide 13 | 15th October 2014