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Abstract:

• In this paper, a new class of bivariate multi-parameter Archimedean copula based on Kendall distribution using Bernstein-Bézier polynomials is introduced. The new class copula has flexible dependence properties depending on the polynomial degree and the control points. Some dependence characteristics such as Kendall’s tau, upper tail and lower tail dependence of the new Archimedean copula class are derived. The simulation procedure based on these desired dependence characteristics is presented. Also, a parameter estimation process based on minimum Cramér-von-Mises distance is also given and its estimation performance is investigated through Monte Carlo simulation study.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Copula models are popular tools for describing multivariate data where the univariate distribution functions are combined to joint distribution function by Sklar’s theorem (Sklar, 1959). Let X and Y be random variables with joint distribution function \( H \) and the marginal distribution functions \( F \) and \( G \), respectively. Then, there exists a copula \( C \) such that \( H(x, y) = C(F(x), G(y)) \), for all \( x, y \) in \( \mathbb{R} \). As an advantage of the copula models, the dependence structure can be modelled separately from the marginal distributions. If \( F \) and \( G \) are continuous, then \( C \) is
unique. Otherwise, the copula $C$ is uniquely determined on $\text{Ran}(F) \times \text{Ran}(G)$.

There are various families of copulas. One of the most popular families is
Archimedean copula family of which the dependence structure can be characterized by an univariate distribution function (Nelsen, 2006, Section 4). The important feature that separates this class from the others is that it has a generator function $\varphi$ which is used to construct an Archimedean copula.

**Definition 1.** A generator function $\varphi$ is a continuous, strictly decreasing convex function defined from $\mathbb{I}$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(1) = 0$. If $\varphi(0) = \infty$, then the generator is called as a strict generator. The pseudo inverse of $\varphi$ is the function $\varphi^{-1}$, defined on $[0, \infty)$ to $\mathbb{I}$ is given by

$$\varphi^{-1} = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1}(t) & 0 \leq t \leq \varphi(0) \\ 0 & \varphi(0) \leq t < \infty \end{cases}$$

A bivariate Archimedean copula with generator function $\varphi, C : \mathbb{I}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad C(u, v) = \varphi^{-1}\{\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)\},$$

where $u = F(x)$ and $v = G(y)$.

An Archimedean copula function can be reduced to an univariate distribution function through generator function. Genest et al. (1993) showed that the function $\varphi(t)$ can be obtained by the univariate distribution function $K(t) = \text{Pr}(C(u, v) \leq t)$. Remarkably, there is a link between the function $\varphi(t)$ and $K(t)$ such as

$$(1.2) \quad K(t) = t - \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} = t - \lambda(t).$$

$K(t)$ called as Kendall distribution function identifies the generator function $\varphi(t)$ and so the dependence structure of the Archimedean copula family. Dependence measures such as Kendall’s tau, upper and lower tail dependence coefficients can be obtained by using Kendall distribution function. For a bivariate Archimedean copula with Kendall distribution function $K(t)$, Genest and MacKay (1986) defined Kendall’s Tau ($\tau$) as

$$(1.3) \quad \tau = 3 - 4 \int_0^1 K(t) dt.$$ 

And also, Michiels et al. (2011) defined lower $\lambda_L$ and upper $\lambda_U$ tail dependence as

$$(1.4) \quad \lambda_L = 2\lim_{t \to 0^+} (t - K(t))'.$$
Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean Copula

\[ \lambda_U = 2 - 2\lim_{t \to 1^-} \left( t - K(t) \right)' \]

and they investigated a general method for constructing bivariate Archimedean copula families using \( \lambda \) function. They worked with polynomials to construct multi-parameter copula families. Genest et al. (1998) proposed several ways to generate bivariate Archimedean copula models via smooth transformations of existing generator function. Dimitrova et al. (2008) defined an estimation method of Kendall distribution using B-spline functions. In addition, they defined sufficient conditions for the B-spline estimator to possess the properties of the Kendall distribution function. So, the function can be considered as a proper Kendall distribution function and associated with the multivariate Archimedean copula. Cooray (2018) introduced two-parameter strict Archimedean generator function based on Clayton copula. Najjari et al. (2014) constructed a new generator function \( \varphi(t) \) using hyperbolic functions as generators of Archimedean copulas. The majority of the papers proposed some methods based on generator function \( \varphi \) for constructing a new Archimedean family of copulas. In this study, we propose constructing a multi-parameter Archimedean copula using Kendall distribution function \( K(t) \). We use Bernstein-Bézier polynomials to create the new Archimedean class. Kendall’s tau, lower and upper tail dependence coefficients are also obtained according to the polynomial degree and the control points. This new multi-parameter Archimedean copula family is contributed to the expansion of the existing Archimedean copula family.

The contribution of this study is two fold: First, a new Archimedean copula class based on Bernstein-Bézier polynomial is proposed. Different values of Kendall’s tau (negative or positive), lower and upper tail dependence coefficients can be obtained by changing the polynomial degree and the control points, so the proposed class has flexible dependence structure. It is possible to create a new distribution function which has desirable dependence characteristics. This is quite useful in power analysis of goodness-of-fit test statistic. Second, an algorithm is proposed to create different distributions with the same dependence level by changing the control points for polynomial degree. Also, an estimation process based on minimizing Cramér-von Mises distance is presented and a Monte Carlo simulation study is employed to measure the performance of the parameter estimates.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula is given and some dependence characteristics are investigated. A simulation procedure of this new class for different polynomial degrees is given in Section 3. Parameter estimation procedure which is based on minimum Cramér-von-Mises measure is given and parameter estimates are obtained in Section 4. And the last section is devoted to the conclusion.
2. BERNSTEIN BÉZIER TYPE BIVARIATE ARCHIMEDEAN COPULA

A Kendall distribution function, $K(t)$ should satisfy the following properties (1-4) described in Nelsen (2006).

1. $K(0) = 0$
2. $K(1) = 1$
3. $K'(t) > 0$
4. $K(t) > t, \; t \in (0,1)$

Let $K(m, \alpha; t)$ be a Bernstein-Bézier type Kendall distribution function with polynomial degree $m$ and control points $\alpha$ defined as

$$(2.1) \quad K(m, \alpha; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k B_{k,m}(t)$$

where $B_{k,m}(t) = \binom{m}{k} t^k (1-t)^{m-k}$ for $t \in [0,1]$.

**Lemma 2.1.** A Bernstein-Bézier type Kendall distribution function $K(m, \alpha; t)$ satisfies the properties (1-4) if the following constraints hold:

1. $\alpha_0 = 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_m = 1$
2. $\alpha_k > \frac{k}{m}, \; k = 1, \ldots, m - 1$

**Proof:** $K(m, \alpha, t = 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k B_{k,m}(t = 0) = 0$ holds since $\alpha_0 = 0$. Similarly, $K(m, \alpha, t = 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k B_{k,m}(t = 1) = 1$ holds since $\alpha_m = 1$.

Also, $K(m, \alpha, t)' = m \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k) P_{k,m-1}(t) \geq 0$. See, Duncan (2005).

So, $\alpha_0 = 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \ldots < \alpha_m = 1$.

If the Bézier control points $\alpha_k > \frac{k}{m}, \; k = 1, \ldots, m - 1$ where $\alpha_k = k/m + \epsilon_k$, then,
$K(m, \alpha, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k \binom{m}{k} t^k (1-t)^{m-k}$

$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{k}{m} \right) t^k (1-t)^{m-k}$

$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{k}{m} \right) t^k (1-t)^{m-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\epsilon_k) \binom{m}{k} t^k (1-t)^{m-k}$

$= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left( \frac{m-1}{k-1} \right) t^{k-1} (1-t)^{m-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\epsilon_k) \binom{m}{k} t^k (1-t)^{m-k}$

$= t \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} t^p (1-t)^{m-p-1} \binom{m-1}{p} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\epsilon_k) \binom{m}{k} t^k (1-t)^{m-k}$

$= t + \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\epsilon_k) \binom{m}{k} t^k (1-t)^{m-k} > t.$
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We also obtain Kendall’s tau, lower and upper tail dependence of the Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula class using the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** Kendall’s tau for Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula is obtained as

$$\tau = 3 - 4 \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k \binom{m}{k} \beta(k+1, m-k+1)$$

where $\beta(.,.)$ is the beta function defined as $\beta(v_1, v_2) = \int_0^1 t^{v_1-1} (1-t)^{v_2-1} dt$ for $v_1, v_2$ positive integers.

**Proof:** $\tau$ is easily derived from equation $\tau = 3 - 4 \int_0^1 K(t) dt$. \hfill $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.3.** The lower tail $\lambda_L$ and the upper tail $\lambda_U$ dependence for Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula are obtained by

$$\lambda_L = 2^{1-m \alpha_1}$$

$$\lambda_U = 2 - 2^{1-m(1-\alpha_{m-1})}$$
Proof: \( \lambda_U \) and \( \lambda_L \) are easily derived from equation \( \lambda_L = 2^\lim_{t \to 0^+} (t-K(t))' \), \( \lambda_U = 2 - 2^\lim_{t \to 1^-} (t-K(t))' \).

It is seen that \( \lambda_L \) and \( \lambda_U \) are affected by only the control points \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_{m-1} \), respectively. We can create Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula using \( \lambda_L \) and \( \lambda_U \), setting up the control points \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_{m-1} \).

The following inequalities given in the next lemma provide an information for proper selection of \( \lambda_U \) and \( \lambda_L \).

Lemma 2.4. Let \( \lambda_L \) and \( \lambda_U \) be lower and upper tail dependence of Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with polynomial degree \( m \). Then,

\[
1 > \lambda_L > \frac{2^{m-2}}{2 - \lambda_U}
\]

holds for all values of polynomial degree \( m \).

Proof: It can ve proved using the inequality \( \alpha_1 < \alpha_{m-1} \). Also, \( 0 < \lambda_U, \lambda_L < 1 \), see Charpentier and Segers (2008).

Suppose that the parameters \( \alpha_k \) are defined as \( \alpha_k > \frac{k}{m} \) for for \( k = 1, \ldots, m-1 \), then \( K(m, \alpha; t) > t \). See, Lemma 2.1. Also, we note that if the control points are selected as \( \alpha_k \to \frac{k}{m} \), then the dependence coefficients \((\tau, \lambda_U, \lambda_L)\) approximate 1. In other words, the Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula approximates comonotonic dependence when the control points are closely distributed uniform.

The Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with higher degree can represent various dependence forms. However, they may have some disadvantages.

1. As the degree increases, the complexity and therefore the processing time increase.
2. Because of the complexity, the curves of higher degree are more sensitive to round off errors.

As opposed to these disadvantages, we can combine several Bernstein-Bézier type Kendall distribution functions, mostly of degree three and four. We note that the Bernstein-Bézier polynomials are invariant under barycentric combinations (Farin (2001), p. 61). So, we obtain the following Bernstein-Bezier
type Archimedean copulas for $\theta \in [0, 1]$:  

$$K(m, \alpha; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \theta \alpha_{1,k} + (1 - \theta) \alpha_{2,k} \right) B_{k,m}(t)$$

$$= \theta \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{1,k} B_{k,m}(t) + (1 - \theta) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{2,k} B_{k,m}(t)$$

$$= \theta K(m, \alpha_{1,:}; t) + (1 - \theta) K(m, \alpha_{2,:}; t).$$

We can construct the weighted average of two Bernstein-Bézier Archimedean copulas either by taking the weighted average of corresponding points on the distribution, or by taking the weighted average of corresponding parameters $\alpha$.

Dependence coefficients of two barycentric combinations of Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula are given by

$$\tau = 3 - 4 \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{2,k} \beta(k + 1, m - k + 1) \binom{m}{k}$$

$$+ 4\theta \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m} (\alpha_{2,k} - \alpha_{1,k}) \beta(k + 1, m - k + 1) \binom{m}{k} \right)$$

$$\lambda_U = 2 - 2^{1 + \theta m \alpha_{1,m-1} + (1 - \theta) m \alpha_{2,m-1} - m}$$

$$\lambda_L = 2^{1 - \left( \theta m \alpha_{1,1} + (1 - \theta) m \alpha_{2,1} \right)}$$

Note that if $\theta$ is selected as 1, then the classical Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula is obtained.

3. **SIMULATING DATA FROM BERNSTEIN BÉZIER TYPE ARCHIMEDEAN COPULA**

In this section, data simulation from Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula is given. Construction of a new distribution function which has desirable Kendall’s tau and tail dependence coefficients are investigated.

The following procedure is used to create a distribution with the dependence characteristics represented by Kendall’s tau and tail dependence coefficients.
1. The arbitrary value of the upper tail dependence $\lambda_U$ is determined primarily.

2. $\lambda_L$ is determined arbitrarily by using Lemma 2.4.

3. The value of Kendall’s tau $\tau$ is determined for the distributions with polynomial degrees 2 and 3. For the distributions having polynomial degree $m \geq 4$, an interval of Kendall’s tau is determined. Then, Kendall’s tau is selected arbitrarily from this interval.

4. Bivariate data is simulated using the following algorithm. See, Nelsen (2006).

The algorithm based on Michiels et al. (2011) allows one to simulate $C(u,v)$ by Kendall distribution function $K(t)$ given as:

- Simulate uniformly distributed random pair $(s,t)$ on $[0,1]$.
- Set $w = K^{-1}(t)$.
- Set $u$ such that $\int_w^u \frac{1}{1-K(t)}dt - \ln(s) = 0$.
- Set $v$ such that $\int_w^v \frac{1}{1-K(t)}dt - \ln(1-s) = 0$.

The range of the parameters and the dependence coefficients depending on the Bernstein-Bézier polynomial degree $m$ are summarized in Table 1. It is observed that as the degree of the polynomial increases, the range of the dependence coefficients gets wider.

Kendall’s tau, upper and lower tail dependence coefficients obtained by the Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with control points for degree $(m = 3, 4, 5)$ are summarized in Table 2. Also, different distributions having the same dependence level at the control points $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$ for polynomial degree 5 are given. All the Bernstein-Bézier control points and dependence coefficients are obtained by applying the simulation procedure (1-4). All cases in Table 2 are examined in the subsection (3.1-3.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>$\alpha_0$</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$</th>
<th>$\alpha_3$</th>
<th>$\alpha_4$</th>
<th>$\alpha_5$</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$\lambda_U$</th>
<th>$\lambda_L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{5}, 1)$</td>
<td>$(\max(\frac{2}{5}, \alpha_1), 1)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{4}, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{4}, 1)$</td>
<td>$(\max(\frac{2}{5}, \alpha_1), 1)$</td>
<td>$(\max(\frac{3}{4}, \alpha_2), 1)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(−0.2, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{5}, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{5}, 1)$</td>
<td>$(\max(\frac{2}{5}, \alpha_1), 1)$</td>
<td>$(\max(\frac{3}{4}, \alpha_2), 1)$</td>
<td>$(\max(\frac{4}{5}, \alpha_3), 1)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(−0.33, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>$(\frac{1}{10}, 1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Range of parameters and dependence coefficients
A Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with degree 3 has the following distribution function,

\[ K(m = 3, \alpha; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \alpha_k \binom{3}{k} t^k (1-t)^{3-k}, \quad t \in [0, 1] \]

From Lemma 2.1, \( \alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_3 = 1 \), \( \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 \) and \( \alpha_1 > \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_2 > \frac{2}{3} \).

Kendall’s tau of the distribution is given as

\[ \tau = 3 - 4 \sum_{k=0}^{3} \alpha_k \binom{3}{k} \beta(k + 1, 3 - k + 1) = 2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \]

and lower and upper tail dependence coefficients are

\[ \lambda_L = 2^{1-3\alpha_1}, \lambda_U = 2 - 2^{3\alpha_2-2} \]

(1 - 4) procedure is applied to determine the Kendall’s tau and the tail dependence coefficients of the distribution. The arbitrary value of the upper tail dependence \( \lambda_U \) is determined primarily in the range \( \lambda_U \in (0, 1) \). We select \( \lambda_U \) as 0.7, so \( \alpha_2 \) is equal to 0.7928. From Lemma 2.4, \( 1 > \lambda_L > 0.3846 \). Then, \( \lambda_L \) is determined arbitrarily as 0.45. So, \( \alpha_1 \) is equal to 0.7173. The stage conditions for control points given Lemma 1 are satisfied. Finally, Kendall’s tau is 0.4899. \( K(3, \alpha; t) \) with control points \( \alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 0.7173, \alpha_2 = 0.7928 \) and \( \alpha_3 = 1 \) has the Kendall’s tau value as \( \tau = 0.4899 \) and the value tail dependence coefficients as \( \lambda_L = 0.45 \) and \( \lambda_U = 0.7 \). Simulated data and \( K(m = 3, \alpha; t) \) with the sample of size 150 are visualized in Figure 1.
3.2. Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with degree four

Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with degree 4 has the following distribution function with the dependence characteristics, Kendall’s tau, lower and upper tail dependence,

\[
K(4, \alpha; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} \alpha_k \binom{4}{k} t^k (1 - t)^{4-k}, t \in [0, 1]
\]

\[
\tau = \frac{1}{5} \left( 11 - 4(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \right)
\]

\[
\lambda_L = 2^{1 - 4\alpha_1}, \lambda_U = 2 - 2^{4\alpha_3 - 3}
\]

(1−4) procedure is applied to determine the Kendall’s tau and the tail dependence values of the distribution. The arbitrary value of the upper tail dependence \(\lambda_U\) is determined primarily in range \(\lambda_U \in (0, 1)\). We select \(\lambda_U\) as 0.1 and so \(\alpha_3\) is equal to 0.9815. From Lemma 2.4, 1 > \(\lambda_L\) > 0.1315. Then, \(\lambda_L\) is determined arbitrarily as 0.75. So, \(\alpha_1\) is equal to 0.3537. Finally from Lemma 2.1, Kendall’s tau should be selected in the range \(\tau \in (0.3610, 0.7462)\). We determine Kendall’s tau arbitrarily as 0.68. So, \(\alpha_2\) is 0.5828. \(K(4, \alpha; t)\) with control points \(\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 0.3537, \alpha_2 = 0.5828, \alpha_3 = 0.9815\) and \(\alpha_4 = 1\) has the value of Kendall’s tau \(\tau = 0.68\) and the values of tail dependences as \(\lambda_L = 0.75\) and \(\lambda_U = 0.1\). Simulated data and \(K(m = 4, \alpha; t)\) with the sample of size 150 is visualized in Figure 2.

3.3. Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with degree five

Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula with degree 5 has the following distribution function with the dependence characteristics Kendall’s tau, lower
and upper tail dependence,

\[
K(5, \alpha; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k \binom{5}{k} t^k (1 - t)^{5-k}, t \in [0, 1]
\]

\[
\tau = \frac{1}{3} \left( 7 - 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \right)
\]

\[
\lambda_L = 2^{1-5\alpha_1}, \lambda_U = 2 - 2^{5\alpha_4 - 4}
\]

(1 - 4) procedure is again applied to determine the Kendall’s tau and the tail dependence values of the distribution. The arbitrary value of the upper tail dependence \(\lambda_U\) is determined primarily in range \(\lambda_U \in (0, 1)\). We select \(\lambda_U\) as 0.5 and so \(\alpha_4\) is equal to 0.9169. From Lemma 2.4, \(1 > \lambda_L > 0.0833\). Then, \(\lambda_L\) is determined arbitrarily as 0.5. So, \(\alpha_1\) is equal to 0.4. Finally from Lemma 2.1, Kendall’s tau should be selected in the range \(\tau \in (0.2328, 0.6220)\). We determine Kendall’s tau arbitrarily as 0.6. \(\alpha_2\) and \(\alpha_3\) can be derived from solving equations \(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1.2831\). From the last equation and Lemma 2.1, \(\alpha_2\) and \(\alpha_3\) should be selected in the range \(\alpha_2 \in (0.4, 0.6415)\) and \(\alpha_3 \in (0.6415, 0.8831)\), respectively. Different \(\alpha_2\) and \(\alpha_3\) values can be selected in order to provide \(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 1.2831\) in the range of \(\alpha_2\) and \(\alpha_3\). This case is important, because we can create different distributions with the same dependence level by selecting different \(\alpha_2\) and \(\alpha_3\) values. One possible selection is \(\alpha_2 = 0.43\) and \(\alpha_3 = 0.8531\). Another possible selection is \(\alpha_2 = 0.63\) and \(\alpha_3 = 0.6531\). \(K_1(5, \alpha; t)\) with control points \(\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 0.4, \alpha_2 = 0.43, \alpha_3 = 0.8531, \alpha_4 = 0.9169, \alpha_5 = 1\) and \(K_2(5, \alpha; t)\) with control points \(\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 0.4, \alpha_2 = 0.63, \alpha_3 = 0.6531, \alpha_4 = 0.9169, \alpha_5 = 1\) with the same dependence level are visualized in Figure 3.

For the higher order polynomial degree, for example \(m = 6\), the range of \(\tau, \lambda_L\) and \(\lambda_U\) are determined as the same as for degree \(m < 6\). But the range of \(\alpha_2, \alpha_3\) and \(\alpha_4\) for the solutions of \(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = a\) cannot be determined easily.
4. PARAMETER ESTIMATION BASED ON CRAMÉR-VON-MISES MEASURE

Genest and Rivest (1993) proposed a nonparametric procedure using empirical estimate $K_n$ of $K$. The psuedo observations of $\hat{T}_i$ were obtained by

$$\hat{T}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} I(X_i < X_j, Y_i < Y_j)/(n-1), i = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

Then, $K(t)$ was estimated by the empirical distribution function as

$$\hat{K}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{T}_i \leq t).$$

Barbe et al. (1996) investigated consistency of $\hat{K}_n(t)$. Alternatively, Susam and Ucer (2018) defined the empirical Bernstein estimator of order $(m_1 > 0)$ for the Kendall distribution function as,

$$\hat{K}_{m_1,n}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \hat{K}_n(k/m_1)P_{k,m_1}(t),$$

where $P_{k,m_1}(t) = \binom{m_1}{k}t^k(1-t)^{m_1-k}$ is the binomial probability. Also, they showed that the Bernstein Kendall distribution function outperforms the empirical Kendall distribution function according to its performance by Monte Carlo simulation study.

Figure 3: Simulated data from $K_1(5, \alpha; t)$ and $K_2(5, \alpha; t)$ with the same $\tau = 0.6, \lambda_L = 0.5, \lambda_U = 0.5$.
In this study, through the parameter estimation process, we first estimate the Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula parameters by using empirical estimate of \( \hat{K}_n \). Then, Cramér-von-Mises (CvM) distance between the empirical Kendall distribution function and the Bernstein-Bézier type Kendall distribution function is obtained as

\[
CvM_{\hat{K}_n} = \int_0^1 n(\hat{K}_n(t) - K(\alpha, m_2; t))^2 d\hat{K}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{K}_n(\hat{T}_i) - K(\alpha, m_2; \hat{T}_i))^2.
\]

Then the parameters are estimated by

\[
\hat{\alpha}_{K_n} = \arg\min_{\alpha \in \Theta} \left\{ CvM_{\hat{K}_n} \right\}
\]

where \( \Theta = \{\alpha_k > \frac{k}{m_2}, \alpha_k > \alpha_k : k = 1, \ldots, m_2 - 1\} \) and \( \alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_{m_2} = 1 \).

Secondly, the Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula parameters are estimated by using empirical Bernstein estimator \( \hat{K}_{m,n}(t) \). Since the empirical Bernstein Kendall distribution function is a continuous approximation of the empirical Kendall distribution function \( \hat{K}_n \), we use empirical Bernstein Kendall distribution function which is upgraded version of \( \hat{K}_n \) to obtain Cramér-von-Mises (CvM) distance as

\[
(4.3) \quad CvM_{\hat{K}_{n,m}} = \int_0^1 n(\hat{K}_{n,m_1}(t) - K(\alpha, m_2; t))^2 dt.
\]

The estimation of the dependence parameter \( \alpha_i \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, m_2 \) can be selected as the value that minimizes the CvM distance.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( K(\alpha, m_2; t) \) be the Bernstein-Bézier type Kendall distribution function with order \( m_2 > 0 \) and let \( \hat{K}_{m,n}(t) \) be the empirical Bernstein estimator of Kendall distribution function with order \( m_1 > 0 \). Then the Cramér-von-Mises distance is defined as
$CvM = n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k}^2 \hat{K}_n^2(k/m_1) \beta(2k + 1, 2m_1 - 2k + 1)$

$$+ 2n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{s=k+1}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k} \binom{m_1}{s} \hat{K}_n(k/m_1) \hat{K}_n(s/m_1) \beta(k + s + 1, 2m_1 - k - s + 1)$$

$$+ n \sum_{k=0}^{m_2} \binom{m_2}{k}^2 \alpha_k^2 \beta(2k + 1, 2m_2 - 2k + 1)$$

$$+ 2n \sum_{k=0}^{m_2-1} \sum_{s=k+1}^{m_2} \binom{m_2}{k} \binom{m_2}{s} \alpha_k \alpha_s \beta(k + s + 1, 2m_2 - k - s + 1)$$

$$- 2n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \sum_{s=0}^{m_2} \hat{K}_n(k/m_1) \alpha_s \binom{m_1}{k} \binom{m_2}{s} \beta(k + s + 1, m_1 + m_2 - k - s + 1)$$

where $\beta(., .)$ is the beta function defined as $\beta(v_1, v_2) = \int_0^1 t^{v_1-1} (1 - t)^{v_2-1} dt$ for $v_1, v_2$ positive integers.

**Proof:**

$CvM = n \int_0^1 \left( \hat{K}_{n,m_1}(t) - K(\alpha, m_2; t) \right)^2 dt$

$$= n \int_0^1 \hat{K}_{n,m_1}^2(t) dt + n \int_0^1 (K(\alpha, m_2; t))^2 dt - 2n \int_0^1 \hat{K}_{n,m_1}(t) K(\alpha, m_2; t) dt$$

$$= n \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k} t^k \right)^2 \hat{K}_n(k/m_1)^2 dt$$

$$+ n \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m_2} \alpha_k t^k \right)^2 \hat{K}_n(k/m_1)^2 dt$$

$$- 2n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \sum_{s=0}^{m_2} \hat{K}_n(k/m_1) \alpha_s \binom{m_1}{k} \binom{m_2}{s} \int_0^1 t^{k+s} (1 - t)^{m_1 + m_2 - k - s} dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 - I_3$$

Now, we calculate part of $I_1$. We know that, $(a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} a_i a_j$, then we can write

$I_1 = n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k}^2 \hat{K}_n^2(k/m_1) \int_0^1 t^{2k} (1 - t) dt$

$$+ 2 \sum_{k=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{s=k+1}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k} \hat{K}_n(k/m_1) \binom{m_1}{s} \hat{K}_n(s/m_1) \int_0^1 t^{k+s} (1 - t)^{2m_1 - 2k - s} dt$$

$$= n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k}^2 \hat{K}_n^2(k/m_1) \beta(2k + 1, 2m_1 - 2k + 1)$$

$$+ 2n \sum_{k=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{s=k+1}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{k} \hat{K}_n(k/m_1) \binom{m_1}{s} \hat{K}_n(s/m_1) \beta(k + s + 1, 2m_1 - k - s + 1)$$. 

proof of the parts of $I_2$ and $I_3$ are the same as proof of part $I_1$.

Then, the parameter estimate which gives the minimum value of Cramér-von-Mises distance based on Bernstein empirical distribution is defined for Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copula by

$$\hat{\alpha}_{K_{n,m}} = \text{argmin}_{\alpha \in \Theta} \left\{ C_{vM} \hat{K}_{n,m} \right\}$$

where $\Theta = \{ \alpha_k > \frac{k}{m_2}, \alpha_{k+1} > \alpha_k ; k = 1, \ldots, m_2 - 1 \}$ and $\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_{m_2} = 1$.

Genest et al. (1993) introduced a method-of-moment estimator for bivariate Archimedean copula based on empirical Kendall distribution function $\hat{K}_n(t)$. For one-parameter families, the parameter can be estimated by only using the first moment. However, for more than one parameters, we need the moments as much as the number of parameters.

We note that the estimation procedure explained in this section are not only available for Archimedean copulas but also available for all continuous copula classes. The empirical Kendall distribution function can also be used for all continuous copula classes. See Genest et al. (1993)

A Monte Carlo simulation study is conducted to measure the performance of the estimation method with several values of Kendall’s tau, lower and upper tail dependence coefficients.

1,000 Monte Carlo samples of sizes $n = 50, 150$ are generated from each type of Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copulas given in Table 2 and investigated the performances of two parameter estimation methods as $\alpha_{\hat{K}_n}$ and $\alpha_{\hat{K}_{n,m}}$. For the empirical Bernstein estimator, we select the polynomial degree as $m_1 = 15$ for sample size $n = 50$ and $m_1 = 30$ for sample size $n = 150$.

Simulation results are shown in Table 3 and Table 4. When the results are examined, the minimum Cramér-von-Mises method based on Kendall distribution using Bernstein polynomials outperforms the method based on empirical Kendall distribution in almost all cases for all sample sizes.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, we propose a new family of Archimedean copulas based on Kendall distribution function $K(t)$. We use Bernstein-Bézier polynomials to construct this new multi-parameter distribution. The method is illustrated for polynomial degree $m = 3, 4, 5$. There are several advantages of this new Archimedean
copula class. It is shown that while working with the Bernstein-Bézier polynomial structures, a multi-parameter copula family can be constructed in an organized way. It is possible to create a new distribution function which has desirable dependence characteristics using Kendall’s tau, lower and upper tail dependence. The parameters of the new model can be interpreted in terms of these dependence characteristics. And also, it is possible that we can create different distributions with the same dependence structures. Also, we obtain the parameter estimates minimizing the Cramér-von-Mises distance which is based on Bernstein-Bézier type Archimedean copulas. We measure the performance of the estimation method with several values of Kendall’s tau, lower and upper tail dependence coefficients by a Monte Carlo simulation study. We can conclude that the minimum Cramér-von-Mises method based on Kendall distribution using Bernstein polynomials outperforms the method based on empirical Kendall distribution function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dist.</th>
<th>Est. Mth.</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_1 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00684</td>
<td>0.00431</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,15}} )</td>
<td>0.00575</td>
<td>0.00313</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_2 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00903</td>
<td>0.01116</td>
<td>0.00221</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,15}} )</td>
<td>0.00324</td>
<td>0.00688</td>
<td>0.00585</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_3 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00633</td>
<td>0.01580</td>
<td>0.01428</td>
<td>0.00349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,15}} )</td>
<td>0.00342</td>
<td>0.00925</td>
<td>0.01192</td>
<td>0.00193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.01544</td>
<td>0.00957</td>
<td>0.00992</td>
<td>0.00266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,15}} )</td>
<td>0.00534</td>
<td>0.01422</td>
<td>0.00923</td>
<td>0.00356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: MSE of the parameter estimations for four Bernstein-Bézier type copula with sample size \( n = 50 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dist.</th>
<th>Est. Mth.</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
<th>( \hat{\alpha} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_1 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00261</td>
<td>0.00151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,30}} )</td>
<td>0.00303</td>
<td>0.00141</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_2 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00209</td>
<td>0.00437</td>
<td>0.00096</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,30}} )</td>
<td>0.00123</td>
<td>0.00384</td>
<td>0.00177</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_3 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00177</td>
<td>0.00661</td>
<td>0.00827</td>
<td>0.00242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,30}} )</td>
<td>0.00229</td>
<td>0.00589</td>
<td>0.00614</td>
<td>0.00091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K_4 )</td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}_{K_n} )</td>
<td>0.00516</td>
<td>0.00775</td>
<td>0.00650</td>
<td>0.00144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \hat{\alpha}<em>{K</em>{n,30}} )</td>
<td>0.00224</td>
<td>0.00753</td>
<td>0.00670</td>
<td>0.00165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: MSE of the parameter estimations for four Bernstein-Bézier type copula with sample size \( n = 150 \)
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