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## Abstract:

- This paper aims to analyze the extremal properties of periodic integer-valued sequences with marginal distribution belonging to a particular class defined by Anderson [1970. J. Appl. Probab. 7, 99-113] where the tail decays exponentially. An expression for calculating the extremal index of sequences satisfying certain local conditions, similar to those introduced by Chernick et al. [1991. Adv. Appl. Prob. 6, 711-731] is obtained. An application to infinite moving averages and max-autoregressive sequences is included. These results generalize the ones obtained for the stationary case.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of integer-valued time series has become an important area of research in the last two decades partially because its wide applicability to experimental biology (Zhou and Basawa [34]), social science (McCabe and Martin [24]), international tourism demand (Nordström [29], Garcia-Ferrer and Queralt [16], Brännäs et al. [12]), queueing systems (Ahn et al. [7]) and economy (Quoreshi [30]). We refer to McKenzie [28] for an overview of the early work in this area. Among the most successful integer-valued time series models proposed in the literature we mention the $\operatorname{INAR}(p)$ model and the $\operatorname{INMA}(q)$ model. The former was first introduced by McKenzie (e.g., [26]) and Al-Osh and Alzaid [1] for the case $p=1$. Empirical relevant extensions have been suggested by Brännäs ([9], explanatory variables), Blundell et al. ([8], panel data), Brännäs and Hellström ([11], extended dependence structure), and more recently by Silva et al. ([32], replicated data). Extensions and generalizations were introduced by Du and Li [14] and Latour [22]. The INMA $(q)$ model was proposed by Al-Osh and Alzaid [2] and subsequently studied by Brännäs and Hall [10]. Related models were introduced by Aly and Bouzar ([4], [5]) and Zhu and Joe [35].

Within the reasonably large spectrum of integer-valued models proposed in the literature, little is known about its extremal properties. Anderson [6] gave a noticeable contribution to the study of the extremal properties of integervalued independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sequences and as an example of application, the author analyzed the behavior of the maximum queue length for $M / M / 1$ queues. Extensions of Anderson's results were proposed by Hooghiemstra et al. [21] who provide bounds and approximations for the distribution of the maximum queue length for $M / M / s$ queues, based on an asymptotic analysis involving the extremal index. McCormick and Park [25] were the first to study the extremal properties of some models obtained as discrete analogues of continuous models, replacing scalar multiplication by random thinning. Hall [17] analyzed the asymptotic behavior of the maximum term of a particular Markovian model. [18] provided results regarding the limiting distribution of the maximum of sequences within a generalized class of integer-valued moving averages driven by i.i.d. heavy-tailed innovations. Extensions for exponential type-tailed innovations have been studied by Hall [19]. More recently, Hall and Moreira [20] derived the extremal properties of a particular moving average count data model introduced by McKenzie [27].

It is worth to mention that all the references given in the previous paragraph deal with the case of stationary sequences. In contrast, however, the study of the extremal properties of integer-valued non-stationary sequences has been overlooked in the literature. This paper aims at giving a contribution towards this direction. In particular we consider periodic sequences with marginal dis-
tributions within a particular class of discrete distributions first considered by Anderson [6]. Potential applications can be found in the analysis of the number of hotel guest nights where the series exhibit strong seasonal pattern with a peak in July-August and a trough in December-February, and in the study of the number of claims of short-term disability benefits made by injured workers since it is expected to see fewer claims in the winter months and more in the summer months.

The term periodic is used in this paper in a different sense than in the literature of periodic stochastic processes in which a sequence $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be periodically stationary (in the wide sense) if its mean and covariance structure are periodic functions of time with the same period. This class of processes, however, does not appear to be sufficiently flexible to deal with data which exhibit non-standard features like nonlinearity and/or heavy tails. In this paper by periodic sequence, with period say $T$, we mean that for a sequence of random variables (rv's) $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exist an integer $T \geq 1$ such that, for each choice of integers $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{n},\left(X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{n}}\right)$ and $\left(X_{i_{1}+T}, \ldots, X_{i_{n}+T}\right)$ are identically distributed. The period $T$ will be considered the smallest integer satisfying the above definition.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the necessary theoretical background; Section 3 includes the main result that leads to the calculation of the limiting distribution of the maximum term; in Section 4 the previous results are applied to a particular class of max-autoregressive sequences generalizing the results of Hall [17]; finally, in Section 5 we look at the distribution of the maximum term of periodic moving average sequences obtained as discrete analogues of classical moving averages with periodic (but independent) innovations, generalizing the results given in Hall [19].

In this paper we want to highlight the following issues:
a) Under fairly general dependence conditions, integer-valued $T$-periodic sequences with marginal distribution in Anderson's class exhibit a quasistable non-degenerate limiting distribution of the maximum term which is obtained as a generalization of the stationary case.
b) The expression of the extremal index may be obtained from the joint distribution of a finite number of observations, calculated at $T$ distinct sets of variables.
c) The results obtained for the integer-valued max-autoregressive and moving average models generalize the ones obtained for the stationary case: whereas for the max-autoregressive model the extremal index is less than unity (reflecting the influence of the dependence structure on the extremes), for the moving averages the extremal index is equal to one.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The study of the extremal properties of stationary sequences is frequently based on the verification of appropriate dependence conditions which assure that the limiting distribution of the maximum term is of the same type as the limiting distribution of the maximum of i.i.d. rv's with the same marginal distribution $F$. For stationary sequences, usual conditions used in the literature are Leadbetter's $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ condition (Leadbetter et al. [23]) and condition $D^{(k)}\left(u_{n}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}$, (Chernick et al. [13]). For completeness and reader's convenience the definition of condition $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ is given below.

Definition 2.1. The condition $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ is said to hold for a stationary sequence $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with marginal distribution $F$, if for any integers $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}<$ $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{q}<n$ such that $j_{1}-i_{p} \geq l_{n}$ we have

$$
\left|F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)-F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) F_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right| \leq \alpha_{n, l_{n}}
$$

with $\alpha_{n, l_{n}} \longrightarrow 0$ for some sequence $\left(l_{n}\right), l_{n}=o(n)$.

For periodic sequences the following adaptation of condition $D^{(k)}\left(u_{n}\right)$ may be used:

Definition 2.2 (Ferreira and Martins [15]). Let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a $T$-periodic sequence verifying $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ with mixing coefficient $\alpha_{n, l_{n}}$. The condition $D_{T}^{(k)}\left(u_{n}\right)$ holds for $\mathbf{X}$ if there exists a sequence of integers $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=+\infty, \quad & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n} \frac{l_{n}}{n}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n} \alpha_{n, l_{n}}=0 \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right]}^{(k)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right]}^{(1)}=\frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=i+k}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right] T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}, X_{j}>u_{n}\right)
$$

and for $k \geq 2$

$$
S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right]}^{(k)}=\frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=i+k}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right] T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}, X_{j-1} \leq u_{n}<X_{j}\right)
$$

Remark 2.1. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right]}^{(k)}=0$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq M_{i+1, i+k-1}, M_{i+k,\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right] T}>u_{n}\right)=0
$$

with $M_{i, j}=\max _{i \leq r \leq j}\left(X_{r}\right)$ and $M_{i, j}=-\infty$ if $i>j$.

When $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $D_{T}^{(k)}\left(u_{n}\right)$ hold for a particular sequence the limiting distribution of the maximum term and its corresponding extremal index may be derived. Following Ferreira and Martins [15] the extremal index is given by

$$
\theta=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq M_{i+1, i+k-1}\right)}{n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}\right)}
$$

Integer-valued sequences require extra care when the analysis of the extremal properties is in demand since in many cases, there is no non-degenerate limiting distribution for the maximum term. Anderson [6] defined a particular class of discrete distributions for which the maximum term (under an i.i.d. setting) possesses an almost stable behavior in the sense of the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 (Anderson [6]). Let $F$ be a distribution function whose support consists of all sufficiently large integers. Then, there exists a sequence of constants $\left(b_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{n}\left(x+b_{n}\right) \leq e^{-e^{-\alpha x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{n}\left(x+b_{n}\right) \geq e^{-e^{-\alpha(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $\alpha>0$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if and only if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-F(n)}{1-F(n-1)}=\exp \{-\alpha\}
$$

In fact $b_{n}$ may be obtained by $b_{n}=F_{c}^{-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)$ where $F_{c}$ is any continuous distribution in the domain of attraction of the Gumbel distribution with $F_{c}([x])=F_{x}$.

Whenever a distribution $F$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem above we shall denote it by $F \in D_{\alpha}($ Anderson $)$. The study of stationary sequences with marginal distribution in the class of Anderson [6] was considered by Hall [17], who obtained the following result:

Theorem 2.2 (Hall [17]). Suppose that for some $k \geq 1$, conditions $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $D^{(k)}\left(u_{n}\right)$ hold for the stationary sequence $\boldsymbol{X}$ with marginal $F \in D_{\alpha}($ Anderson $)$, where $\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ is a sequence of the form $u_{n}=x+b_{n}$. If $M_{n}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left(X_{k}\right)$, then there exists a value $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \leq e^{-\theta e^{-\alpha x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\theta e^{-\alpha(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

if and only if

$$
P\left(M_{2, k} \leq u_{n} \mid X_{1}>u_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \theta \text {. }
$$

Hall refers to the parameter $\theta$ as the extremal index due to its similarity with the conventional extremal index.

## 3. LIMITING DISTRIBUTION FOR THE MAXIMUM TERM

In this section attention is focused in the extremal behavior of periodic sequences with marginal distributions in Anderson's class. The first result extends Theorem 3 in Hall [17] for $T$-periodic integer-valued sequences.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that for $k \geq 1$ the conditions $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $D_{T}^{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ hold for the $T$-periodic integer-valued sequence $\mathbf{X}$, with $F_{r} \in D_{\alpha_{r}}$ (Anderson), for $r=1, \ldots, T$ where $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of the form $u_{n}=x+b_{n}$. If there exists $\underline{\theta}$ and $\bar{\theta}, 0 \leq \underline{\theta} \leq \bar{\theta} \leq 1$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\theta} & =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)}{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)}{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)}=\bar{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \leq e^{-\underline{\theta} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} e^{-\alpha_{r} x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\bar{\theta} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} e^{-\alpha_{r}(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: First let us suppose that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right)>0, \forall x$. By Proposition 2.1 in Ferreira and Martins [15] we have that

$$
P\left(M_{n} \leq u_{n}\right)-e^{-\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(M_{n} \leq u_{n}\right)-\left(e^{-\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)}\right)^{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)} \frac{T_{T}^{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)}{0} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From Theorem 2.1 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<e^{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} e^{-\alpha_{r}(x-1)}} & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} e^{-\alpha_{r} x}}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and if we assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\theta} & =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)}{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)} \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)}{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)}=\bar{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, (3.1) leads to the stated result.
The case $P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is easily handled by the results above and the arguments in Hall ([17], p. 725). We skip the details.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 the extremal index can be computed as follows:

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that for some $k \geq 1$ the conditions $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ and $D_{T}^{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ hold for the T-periodic integer-valued sequence $\mathbf{X}$, with $F_{r} \in D_{\alpha_{r}}($ Anderson $)$, for $r=1, \ldots, T$ where $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of the form $u_{n}=x+b_{n}$. Then, there exists a value $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \leq e^{-\theta \frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} e^{-\alpha_{r} x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\theta \frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} e^{-\alpha_{r}(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

if and only if

$$
\frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}>M_{r+1, r+k-1}\right)}{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}\right)} \rightarrow \theta, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 4. MAX-AUTOREGRESSIVE PERIODIC SEQUENCES

Let $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a $T$-periodic non-negative integer-valued max-autoregressive sequence defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\max \left\{X_{n-1}, Z_{n}\right\}-c_{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{T}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{T}, c_{n+T}=c_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{Z}=\left(Z_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. integer-valued rv's with common distribution $F$. Let $H_{n}$ denote the distribution of $X_{n}$. The max-autoregressive sequence defined in (4.1) is an extension of the max-autoregressive model considered by Alpuim [3]. Her ideas will be extensively used throughout this section. First note that the following relations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n}(x) & =P\left(X_{n} \leq x\right)=P\left(X_{n-1} \leq x+c_{n}, Z_{n} \leq x+c_{n}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} F\left(x+\sum_{l=0}^{i} c_{n-l}\right)=\prod_{s=0}^{T-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} F\left(x+j S+S_{s, n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $S=\sum_{i=1}^{T} c_{i}$ and $S_{s, n}=\sum_{l=0}^{s} c_{n-l}$. Moreover, it is also true that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\frac{H_{n}\left(x-c_{n}\right)}{H_{n-1}(x)}, \quad \text { for all } n \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next result shows that if $F$ belongs to Anderson's class then $H_{n}$ will also belong to Anderson's class for all $n$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a max-autoregressive integer-valued T-periodic sequence defined by (4.1). If $F \in D_{\alpha}$ (Anderson) then $H_{n} \in D_{\alpha}$ (Anderson), $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $u_{n}=x+b_{n}$ be such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \leq e^{-\alpha x} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \geq e^{-\alpha(x-1)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Choosing $u_{n}^{\prime}=x+b_{n}+\frac{\ln C_{1}}{\alpha}$ with $C_{1}=\frac{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} e^{-S_{s, 1} \alpha}}{1-e^{-S \alpha}}$ it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-H_{1}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq e^{-\alpha x} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-H_{1}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq e^{-\alpha(x-1)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-H_{r}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \gamma_{r, 1} e^{-\alpha x} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-H_{r}\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq \gamma_{r, 1} e^{-\alpha(x-1)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i, r}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-H_{i}(x)}{1-H_{r}(x)}, \quad r=1, \ldots, T, \quad i=0, \ldots, T-1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore for $i=0, \ldots, T-1$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1} e^{-\alpha x} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1} e^{-\alpha(x-1)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: First note that for any two integer-valued distribution functions, say $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, the following relation hold: If $F_{1} \in D_{\alpha}($ Anderson $)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-F_{2}(n)}{1-F_{1}(n)}=$ $c>0$ then $F_{2} \in D_{\alpha}($ Anderson $)$. Furthermore, if $b_{n}$ is such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F_{1}\left(x+b_{n}\right)\right) \leq e^{-\alpha x} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F_{1}\left(x+b_{n}\right)\right) \geq e^{-\alpha(x-1)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then for $b_{n}^{\prime}=b_{n}+\frac{\ln c}{\alpha}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F_{2}\left(x+b_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq e^{-\alpha x} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F_{2}\left(x+b_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq e^{-\alpha(x-1)}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Now suppose that $F \in D_{\alpha}($ Anderson $)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-H_{n}(x)}{1-F(x)} & =\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{T-1} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} F\left(x+j S+S_{s, n}\right)}{1-F(x)} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1-F\left(x+j S+S_{s, n}\right)}{1-F(x)} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} e^{-S_{s, n} \alpha} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-F(x+j S)}{1-F(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-F(x)}{1-F(x-1)}=e^{-\alpha}$ we may choose $\alpha^{\prime}<\alpha$ so that there exists $x_{0}$ such that for all $x>x_{0}$ then $\frac{1-F(x+j S)}{1-F(x)}<e^{-j S \alpha^{\prime}}$ for all $j$. By the dominated convergence theorem, limit and sum can be interchanged providing

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-H_{n}(x)}{1-F(x)}=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} e^{-S_{s, n} \alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-j S \alpha}=\frac{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} e^{-S_{s, n} \alpha}}{1-e^{-S \alpha}} \equiv C_{n} .
$$

Applying the relations stated in the beginning of the proof we conclude that $H_{n} \in D_{\alpha}$ (Anderson).

We shall now obtain the asymptotic behaviour of the maximum term of the $T$-periodic non-negative integer-valued max-autoregressive sequence in (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the $T$-periodic non-negative integer-valued moving average sequence defined in (4.1) with $F \in D_{\alpha}$ (Anderson). If $M_{n}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left(X_{k}\right)$ and $u_{n}=x+b_{n}$ with

$$
b_{n}=b_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} C_{i}\right)}{\alpha}
$$

where $C_{i}=\frac{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} e^{-S_{s, i \alpha}}}{1-e^{-S \alpha}}$ and $b_{n}^{\prime}$ is the sequence of normalizing constants of $F$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq u_{n}\right) \leq e^{-\theta e^{-\alpha x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq u_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\theta e^{-\alpha(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the extremal index $\theta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1}\left(1-\exp \left\{-\alpha c_{i+1}\right\}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{i, 1}=C_{i} / C_{1}$.

Proof: First we prove that condition $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ holds for $\mathbf{X}$. Note that for any two indexes $i_{1}, i_{2}$ we obtain the following relations by (4.2):

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(X_{i_{1}} \leq x, X_{i_{2}} \leq x\right) & =P\left(X_{i_{1}} \leq x\right) \prod_{l=0}^{i_{2}-i_{1}-1} F\left(x+S_{l, i_{2}}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& =H_{i_{1}}(x) \frac{H_{i_{2}}(x)}{H_{i_{1}}\left(x+S_{i_{2}-i_{1}-1, i_{2}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|H_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)-H_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) H_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right|= \\
&= \mid H_{i_{1}}\left(u_{n}\right) \prod_{m=2}^{p} \prod_{l=0}^{i_{m}-i_{m-1}-1} F\left(u_{n}+S_{l, i_{m}}\right) \prod_{m=2}^{q} \prod_{l=0}^{j_{m}-j_{m-1}-1} F\left(u_{n}+S_{l, j_{m}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{l=0}^{l_{n}-1} F\left(u_{n}+S_{l, j_{1}}\right)-H_{j_{1}}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \mid \\
& \leq\left|\frac{H_{j_{1}}\left(u_{n}\right)}{H_{i_{p}}\left(u_{n}+S_{j_{1}-i_{p}-1}\right)}-H_{j_{1}}\left(u_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 1-H_{i_{p}}\left(u_{n}+S_{j_{1}-i_{p}-1}\right) \leq 1-H_{i_{p}}\left(u_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right) \sim O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ for all $i$, the desired result is obtained.

Next we show that condition $D_{T}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$ also holds for $\mathbf{X}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq\right. & \left.X_{i+1}, X_{i+j}>u_{n}\right)= \\
& =P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}, X_{i+j}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right) H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right) P\left(X_{i+j}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right) H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since the events $\left\{X_{i}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{i+j}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right\}$ are independent for this type of sequences. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right) & =\frac{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right) F\left(u_{n}+c_{i+1}\right)}{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)} \\
& =1-\frac{H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)}{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)} F\left(u_{n}+c_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)}{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)} \geq H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right) \leq 1-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

For the second term we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{i+j}>u_{n} \mid X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right) & =1-\frac{H_{i+j}\left(u_{n}\right)}{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}+\sum_{m=0}^{j-2} c_{i+j-m}\right)} \\
& \leq 1-H_{i+j}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=i+2}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right] T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq X_{i+1}, X_{i+j}>u_{n}\right) & \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n T\left[\frac{n}{k_{n} T}\right] O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that by Corollary 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq X_{i+1}\right)}{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}\right)} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq X_{i+1}\right) / P\left(X_{1}>u_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}\right) / P\left(X_{1}>u_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_{i}>u_{n}\right) / P\left(X_{1}>u_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P & \left(X_{i}>u_{n} \geq X_{i+1}\right) / P\left(X_{1}>u_{n}\right)= \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(P\left(X_{i} \leq u_{n}\right)-P\left(X_{i} \leq u_{n}, X_{i+1} \leq u_{n}\right)\right) / P\left(X_{1}>u_{n}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right) F\left(u_{n}+c_{i+i}\right)\right) /\left(1-H_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T}\left(H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)}{H_{i}\left(u_{n}+c_{i+i}\right)}\right) /\left(1-H_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \frac{H_{i+1}\left(u_{n}\right)}{H_{i}\left(u_{n}+c_{i+1}\right)}\left(H_{i}\left(u_{n}+c_{i+1}\right)-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) /\left(1-H_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \frac{1-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)}{1-H_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)}\left(1-\frac{1-H_{i}\left(u_{n}+c_{i+1}\right)}{1-H_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1}\left(1-\exp \left(-\alpha c_{i+1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\theta=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1}\left(1-\exp \left(-\alpha c_{i+1}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i, 1}}
$$

concluding the proof.

## 5. MOVING AVERAGE MODELS WITH EXPONENTIAL TYPE-

 TAILSLet $\mathbf{Z}=\left(Z_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of $T$-periodic integer-valued random variables. Throughout this section we will assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-F_{Z_{r}}(x) \sim K_{r} x^{\xi_{r}}\left(1+\lambda_{r}\right)^{-x}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad K_{r}, \lambda_{r}>0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r=1, \ldots, T$. Furthermore, we assume that $X_{n}$ admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \circ Z_{n-j}, \quad \beta_{j} \in[0,1] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the discrete operator $\circ$ denotes binomial thinning defined as $\beta \circ Z=$ $\sum_{s=1}^{Z} U_{s}(\beta)$, where $\left(U_{s}(\beta)\right)$ is a i.i.d. sequence of Bernoulli random variables verifying $P\left(U_{s}(\beta)=1\right)=\beta$. Moreover, the sequence of coefficients $\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ will be taken to satisfy

$$
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j}<\infty
$$

in order to ensure the almost sure convergence of (5.2). All thinning operations involved in (5.2) are independent, for each $n$. Nevertheless, dependence is allowed to occur between the thinning operators $\beta_{j} \circ Z_{n}$ and $\beta_{i} \circ Z_{n}, j \neq i$ (which belong to $X_{n+j}$ and $X_{n+i}$ respectively).

Lemma 5.1. Under the conditions set above, the sum

$$
\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \circ Z_{n-j}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{j}=O\left(|j|^{-\delta}\right), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \pm \infty$, for some $\delta>2$, converges almost surely to $X_{n}$.

Proof: Note that

$$
E\left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \circ Z_{n-j}\right]=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} E\left[Z_{n-s}\right] \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s}<\infty .
$$

Likewise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \circ Z_{n-j}\right]= \\
& \quad=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{n-s}\right]-E\left[Z_{n-s}\right]\right) \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s}^{2}+E\left[Z_{n-s}\right] \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \\
& \quad<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \circ Z_{n-j} \rightarrow X_{n}$ almost surely by the Corollary of page 112 in Tucker [33].

We now begin with a series of results designed to understand the tail behavior of $X_{r}^{(s)}=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}$ as well as sums of these variables. The first result we present is a simple modification of Theorem 8 in Hall [19] for the stationary case, but crucial for the characterization of the tail behavior of $X_{r}$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ be a $T$-periodic sequence verifying (5.1). For fixed values of $s=0, \ldots, T-1$ and $r=1, \ldots, T$, it holds that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
P\left(X_{r}^{(s)}>x\right) \sim \breve{K}_{r-s} x^{\breve{\xi}_{r-s}}\left(1+\breve{\lambda}_{r-s}\right)^{-x}
$$

for $\xi_{r-s} \neq 1$, with $\breve{\lambda}_{r-s}=\frac{\lambda_{r-s}}{\beta^{(s)}}, \beta^{(s)}=\max _{-\infty \leq j \leq \infty}\left\{\beta_{j T+s}\right\}, k_{s}=\#\left\{j: \frac{\beta_{j T+s}}{\beta^{(s)}}=1\right\}$,

$$
\breve{\xi}_{r-s}= \begin{cases}k_{s} \xi_{r-s}+k_{s}-1 & \xi_{r-s}>-1 \\ \xi_{r-s} & \xi_{r-s}<-1\end{cases}
$$

$K_{r-s}^{*}=\beta^{(s)} K_{r-s}\left(\frac{1+\lambda_{r-s}}{\lambda_{r-s}+\beta^{(s)}}\right)^{\xi_{r-s}+1}$,
$\breve{K}_{r-s}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\breve{\lambda}_{r-s}^{k_{s}-1} K_{r-s}^{*} \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\xi_{r-s}+1\right)\right)^{k_{s}}}{\Gamma\left(k_{s}\left(\xi_{r-s}+1\right)\right)} E\left[\left(1+\breve{\lambda}_{r-s}\right)^{\left.\sum_{j^{\prime} \nless \gamma_{s}} \beta_{j^{\prime} \circ} Z_{r-s}\right]}\right. & \xi_{r-s}>-1 \\ k_{s} K_{r-s}^{*}\left(E\left[\left(1+\breve{\lambda}_{r-s}\right)\right]\right)^{k_{s}-1} E\left[\left(1+\breve{\lambda}_{r-s}\right)^{\sum_{j^{\prime} \nless \gamma s}} \beta_{j^{\prime}} Z_{r-s}\right] & \xi_{r-s}<-1\end{array}\right.$,
with $j^{\prime}=j T+s$ and $\gamma_{s}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k_{s}}: \beta_{i_{h}}=\beta^{(s)}, h=1, \ldots, k_{s}\right\}$.

We shall now obtain the tail behavior of $F_{X_{r}}$. For simplicity in notation we define $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{T}=0,1, \ldots, T-1$, being $i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq \ldots \neq i_{T}$.

Lemma 5.3. For the process defined in (5.2) it holds that, for $r=1, \ldots, T$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{r}>x\right) \sim A_{r}^{*} x^{\xi_{r}^{*}}\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{-x}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{r}^{*}=\min \left(\breve{\lambda}_{r}, \ldots, \breve{\lambda}_{r-T+1}\right)$. Moreover, the constant $A_{r}^{*}$ can be calculated as follows:

1. if $\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{1}}=\cdots=\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{T}}$ and
(a) $\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}=\cdots=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{T}}<-1$ then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}$ and

$$
A_{r}^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
C_{2, r} & T=2 \\
C_{T, r} & T \geq 3
\end{array},\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2, r} & =\breve{K}_{r-i_{1}} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}}\right]+\breve{K}_{r-i_{2}} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}}\right] \\
C_{T, r} & =C_{T-1, r} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{\left(i_{T}\right)}}\right]+\breve{K}_{r-i_{T}} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{\sum_{s=1}^{T-1} X_{r}^{\left(i_{s}\right)}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}>-1, \ldots, \breve{\xi}_{r-i_{T}}>-1$ then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\sum_{s=1}^{T} \breve{\xi}_{r-i_{s}}+T-1$, and

$$
A_{r}^{*}= \begin{cases}C_{2, r}^{*} & T=2 \\ C_{T, r}^{*} & T \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2, r}^{*}=\lambda_{r}^{*} \breve{K}_{r-i_{1}} \breve{K}_{r-i_{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}+1\right) \Gamma\left(\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{2}}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}+\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{2}}+2\right)}, \\
& C_{T, r}^{*}=C_{T-1, r}^{*} \lambda_{r}^{*} \breve{K}_{r-i_{T}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \breve{\xi}_{r-i_{s}}+T-1\right) \Gamma\left(\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{T}}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{s=1}^{T} \breve{\xi}_{r-i_{s}}+T\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. if $\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{1}}<\cdots<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{T}}$, then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}$ and

$$
A_{r}^{*}=\breve{K}_{r-i_{1}} \prod_{h=2}^{T} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{\left(i_{h}\right)}}\right]
$$

3. if $\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{1}}<\cdots<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l+1}}=\cdots=\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l+k}}<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l+k+1}}<\cdots<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{T}}$, then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}$ and
with

$$
A_{r}^{*}=\breve{Q}_{r-i_{1}}^{(k)}\left(\prod_{h=1}^{T-k-l} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{\left(i_{l+k+h}\right)}}\right]\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\breve{Q}_{r-i_{1}}^{(k)}=\breve{K}_{r-i_{1}}\left(\prod_{h=2}^{l} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{\left(i_{h}\right)}}\right]\right) E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{\sum_{h=1}^{k} X_{r}^{\left(i_{1+h}\right)}}\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. if $\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{1}}<\cdots<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l}}<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l+1}}=\cdots=\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{T}}$ then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}$ and

$$
A_{r}^{*}=\breve{Q}_{r-i_{1}}^{(T-l)}
$$

with $\breve{Q}_{r-i_{1}}^{(\cdot)}$ defined as in (5.5);
5. if $\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{1}}=\cdots=\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l}}<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{l+1}}<\cdots<\breve{\lambda}_{r-i_{T}}$ and
(a) $\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}=\cdots=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{l}}<-1$ then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}$

$$
A_{r}^{*}= \begin{cases}C_{2, r} & T=2 \\ C_{T, r} \prod_{h=l+1}^{T} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{\left.X_{r}^{\left(i_{h}\right)}\right]}\right. & 3 \leq l<T\end{cases}
$$

(b) $\breve{\xi}_{r-i_{1}}>-1, \ldots, \breve{\xi}_{r-i_{l}}>-1$ then $\xi_{r}^{*}=\sum_{s=1}^{l} \breve{\xi}_{r-i_{s}}+l-1$

$$
A_{r}^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
C_{2, r}^{*} & T=2 \\
C_{T, r}^{*} \prod_{h=l+1}^{T} E\left[\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{X_{r}^{(i h)}}\right] & 3 \leq l<T
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Proof: The result follows by applying repeatedly Lemma 7 in Hall [19] which is the discrete version of Theorem 7.1 in Rootzén [31], after some tedious calculations.

We are now in conditions to obtain the limiting distribution of the maximum term of $\mathbf{X}$. An explicit expression for the sequence of norming constants $\left(b_{n}\right)$ can be obtained though the following result. For clarification in notation we define $\check{\lambda}=\min _{1 \leq r \leq T}\left\{\lambda_{r}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$ the set of indices such that $\check{\lambda} / \lambda_{q_{l}}^{*}=1$, for $l=1, \ldots, k(k \leq T)$. In addition, we define $\check{\xi}=\max _{1 \leq l \leq k}\left\{\xi_{q_{l}}^{*}\right\}$ and the set of indices $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\right)$ such that $\check{\xi} / \xi_{p_{l}}^{*}=1$, with $l=1, \ldots, s,(s \leq k)$. Furthermore, let $A=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=1}^{s} A_{p_{j}}^{*}$.

Lemma 5.4. For the $T$-periodic integer-valued sequence $\mathbf{X}$ given in (5.2) the normalizing constants $b_{n}$ of Theorem 3.1 are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=(\ln (1+\check{\lambda}))^{-1}(\ln n+\check{\xi} \ln \ln n+\ln A) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The demonstration of this lemma is based on the following result.
Lemma 5.5. If a distribution function $F$ belongs to the domain of attraction of an extreme value distribution, $\left(F \in D\left(G_{\gamma}(x)\right)\right)$ and $F_{*}=F(x)(1+\epsilon(x))$ with $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{F}} \epsilon(x)=0$, then $F_{*} \in D\left(G_{\gamma}(x)\right)$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4: By Lemma 5.3, as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>x\right) & \sim \frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} A_{r}^{*} x^{\xi_{r}^{*}}\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{-x} \\
& =A x^{\check{\xi}}(1+\check{\lambda})^{-x}\left[1+\sum_{l=s+1}^{T} \frac{A_{p_{j}}^{*}}{A}\left(\frac{1+\lambda_{q_{l}}^{*}}{1+\check{\lambda}}\right)^{-x} x^{\xi_{q_{l}}^{*}-\check{\xi}}\right] \\
& \sim A x^{\check{\xi}}(1+\check{\lambda})^{-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step is justified by Lemma 5.5.
Let $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ be the associated independent $T$-periodic sequence of $\mathbf{X}$, i.e. $\hat{X}_{1}, \hat{X}_{2}, \ldots$, are independent random variables being the tail distribution of $\hat{X}_{r}$ as in (5.4) for $r=1, \ldots, T$, and define $\hat{M}_{n}=\max \left(\hat{X}_{n}\right)$. Next result ensures that condition $D\left(u_{n}\right)$ holds for $\mathbf{X}$ with $F_{Z_{r}}$ given as in (5.1).

Lemma 5.6. Suppose that the T-periodic integer-valued sequence $\mathbf{X}$ given in (5.2) is defined by a.s. convergent sums and satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\hat{M}_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(1+\lambda_{r}\right)^{-x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\hat{M}_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(1+\lambda_{r}\right)^{-(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and some set of constants $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{T}>0, b_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then condition $D\left(x+b_{n}\right)$ holds for $\mathbf{X}$.

Proof: For any $\epsilon_{n}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{i, j}\left|F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{q}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)-F_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) F_{j_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(x+b_{n}-2 \epsilon_{n}<X_{r} \leq x+b_{n}+2 \epsilon_{n}\right) \\
&+\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\left|\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right|>\epsilon_{n}\right) \\
&+\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\left|\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right|>\epsilon_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j_{1}-i_{p} \geq 2 n^{\gamma_{T}}, \gamma \in(0,1)$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(x+b_{n}-2 \epsilon_{n}<X_{r} \leq x+b_{n}+2 \epsilon_{n}\right)= \\
& \quad=\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>x+b_{n}-2 \epsilon_{n}\right)-\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(X_{r}>x+b_{n}+2 \epsilon_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $b_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, if $b_{n}$ is a normalizing constant for the maximum term, then $b_{n}^{ \pm}=b_{n} \pm 2 \epsilon_{n}$ are also constants for the maximum term. For each $n$ and a fixed value of $r=1, \ldots, T n P\left(X_{r}>x+b_{n}^{-}\right)$and $n P\left(X_{r}>x+b_{n}^{-}\right)$are step functions of $x$, with the same step width, and different location parameters, but whose difference converges to zero. Then

$$
\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(x+b_{n}-2 \epsilon_{n}<X_{r} \leq x+b_{n}+2 \epsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, providing that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\left|\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right|>\epsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0  \tag{5.7}\\
& \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\left|\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right|>\epsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some $\gamma_{T} \in(0,1)$ and $\epsilon=o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, are sufficient conditions for $D\left(u_{n}\right)$. In proving (5.7) and (5.8) note that by Markov's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\left|\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-s}\right|>\epsilon_{n}\right) \leq \\
\leq \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \frac{E\left[\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-s}\right)^{2}\right]}{\epsilon_{n}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the properties of the thinning operation, for a fixed value $r=1, \ldots, T$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-s}\right)^{2}\right]= \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{T-1}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{r-s}\right]-E\left[Z_{r-s}\right]\right) \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s}^{2} \\
&+\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} E\left[Z_{r-s}\right] \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s}\right)^{2}+\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} E\left[Z_{r-s}\right] \sum_{j=\left[n^{\gamma} T\right]+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \\
&= O\left(n^{-\gamma_{T}(\delta-1)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by (5.3). Hence by taking for instance $\epsilon_{n}=O\left((\ln n)^{-\zeta}\right), \zeta>0$ and choosing $\gamma_{T} \in(0,1)$ such that $\gamma_{T}(\delta-1)>1$, we have that condition (5.7) is satisfied. For the expression in (5.8) the procedure is analogous.

Next result provides sufficient conditions for $D_{T}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 5.7. Denote $n_{T}^{\prime}=\left[n^{\gamma_{T}}\right]$ and suppose that for some constants $\gamma_{T} \in(0,1)$ and $\zeta>0$ the following conditions hold, for $u_{n}=x+b_{n}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \sum_{t=r+1}^{2 n_{T}^{\prime}} P\left(X_{r}+X_{t}>2 u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty  \tag{5.9}\\
& \frac{n^{2}}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>\zeta\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty  \tag{5.10}\\
& \frac{n^{2}}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n_{T}^{\prime}-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>\zeta\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty ;  \tag{5.11}\\
& \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s} \xrightarrow{P} 0, \quad \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n_{T}^{\prime}-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s} \xrightarrow{P} 0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, condition $D_{T}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$ holds for the $T$-periodic integer-valued sequence $\mathbf{X}$ defined in (5.2).

Proof: First note that, for a fixed value of $r=1, \ldots, T, P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}, X_{t}>u_{n}\right)$ $\leq P\left(X_{r}+X_{t}>2 u_{n}\right)$ following from (5.9) that

$$
\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \sum_{t=r+1}^{2 n_{T}^{\prime}} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}, X_{t}>u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Next write $X_{r}^{\prime}=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n_{T}^{\prime}} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}$ and $X_{t}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{t-j T-s}$ so that $X_{r}^{\prime}$ and $X_{t}^{\prime \prime}$ are independent for $t>2 n_{T}^{\prime}$. Following Rootzén [31], for a fixed value of $r=1, \ldots, T$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}, X_{t}>u_{n}\right) \leq & P\left(X_{r}^{\prime}>u_{n}-\zeta\right) P\left(X_{r}^{\prime \prime}>u_{n}-\zeta\right) \\
& +P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>\zeta\right) \\
& +P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n_{T}^{\prime}-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{t-j T-s}>\zeta\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, writing $u_{n}^{*}=x-\zeta+b_{n}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \sum_{t=2 n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{[n / k T] T} P\left(X_{r}>\right. & \left.u_{n}, X_{t}>u_{n}\right) \leq \\
\leq & \sum_{r=1}^{T} \frac{n^{2}}{k T} P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n_{T}^{\prime}} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>u_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& \times P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-n_{T}^{\prime}}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>u_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& +\frac{n^{2}}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>\zeta\right) \\
& +\frac{n^{2}}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-n_{T}^{\prime}-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>\zeta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last two terms tend to zero by (5.10) and (5.11). By the same line of reasoning as in Rootzén ([31], p. 622) it is easy to check that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} \sum_{t=2 n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{[n / k T] T} P\left(X_{r}>u_{n}, X_{t}>u_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \times(\text { constant }) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty
$$

The final result is formalized through the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. For the $T$-periodic integer-valued sequence $\mathbf{X}$ defined in (5.2), with $k_{s}=1, s=0, \ldots, T-1$ and $\xi_{r-s} \neq 1$ for $r=1 \ldots, T$, it holds that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{-x}} \\
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(M_{n} \leq x+b_{n}\right) \geq e^{-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T}\left(1+\lambda_{r}^{*}\right)^{-(x-1)}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $b_{n}$ defined as in (5.6).

Proof: First note that for $r=1, \ldots, T$

$$
X_{r}+X_{t}=\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}+\beta_{j T+s+t} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right) .
$$

For simplicity in notation we define $\lambda_{\min }=\min \left(\lambda_{r}, \ldots, \lambda_{r-T+1}\right)$ and for $s=0, \ldots, T-1$, $\beta_{1}^{(s)}=\max _{j}\left\{\beta_{j T+s}: j \notin \gamma_{s}\right\}<\beta^{(s)}, \beta_{2}^{(s)}=\max _{t}\left\{\max _{j}\left\{\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right\}\right\}<2 \beta^{(s)}$, and $\breve{\beta}_{\text {max }}=\max _{0 \leq s \leq T-1}\left\{\breve{\beta}^{(s)}\right\}$ with $\breve{\beta}^{(s)}=\max \left\{\beta_{1}^{(s)}, \beta_{2}^{(s)} / 2\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[(1+h)^{\beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-s}+\beta_{j T+s+t} \circ Z_{r-s}}\right] & =E\left[E\left[(1+h)^{\beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-s}+\beta_{j T+s+t} \circ Z_{r-s} \mid Z_{r-s}}\right]\right] \\
& =\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}+\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right) h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $0 \leq h<\lambda_{\text {min }}$. Since, for $h \geq 0$ and $s=0, \ldots, T-1, \beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}+\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\right.$ $\left.\beta_{j T+s+t}\right) h \leq \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h^{2}+2 \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h$, the existence of $E\left[(1+h)^{\left.\beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-s}+\beta_{j T+s+t} \circ Z_{r-s}\right]}\right.$ will be granted if it is possible to find an $h>0$ such that $\breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h^{2}+2 \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h<\lambda_{\text {min }}$.

$$
\breve{\beta}_{\max } h^{2}+2 \breve{\beta}_{\max } h-\lambda_{\min }=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad h=-1 \pm \sqrt{1+\frac{\lambda_{\min }}{\breve{\beta}_{\max }}}
$$

Let $h_{1}<0<h_{2}$ be the two solutions of this equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[(1+h)^{X_{r}+X_{t}}\right]= & E\left[(1+h)^{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}+\beta_{j T+s+t} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right)}\right] \\
= & \prod_{s=0}^{T-1}\left(\prod_{j=-\infty}^{[t / 2]} \tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}+\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right) h\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \prod_{j=[t / 2]+1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}+\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right) h\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}^{\prime}(\nu)=E\left[(1+\nu)^{Z_{r-s}}\right]<\infty$, if $0<\nu<\lambda_{\text {min }}$, and $\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}^{\prime}(\nu) \geq 1$ for $0 \leq \nu \leq \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h^{2}+2 \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h$. By the mean value Theorem, $\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right) \leq$ $\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\nu_{1}\right)\left(1+C \nu_{2}\right), \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}>0, \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} \leq \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h^{2}+2 \breve{\beta}_{\text {max }} h$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\sup \left\{\frac{\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}^{\prime}(\nu+x)}{\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}(\nu)}: s=0, \ldots, T-1,0<\nu+x<\breve{\beta}_{\max } h^{2}+2 \breve{\beta}_{\max } h, \nu>0, x>0\right\} \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

On the basis of this result we have for $\nu_{1}=h\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right)$ and $\nu_{2}=\beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j=-\infty}^{[t / 2]} \tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}+\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right) h\right) \leq \\
& \quad \leq \prod_{j=-\infty}^{[t / 2]} \tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\left(\beta_{j T+s}+\beta_{j T+s+t}\right) h\right) \prod_{j=-\infty}^{[t / 2]}\left(1+C \beta_{j T+s} \beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \prod_{j=-\infty}^{[t / 2]} \tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta_{j T+s} h\right) \prod_{j=-\infty}^{-[t / 2]}\left(1+C \beta_{j T+s} h\right) \prod_{j=-\infty}^{[t / 2]}\left(1+C \beta(j T+s, t) h^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that $\tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta_{j T+s} h\right)=1+\beta_{j T+s} h E\left[Z_{r-s}\right](1+o(1))$ and using (5.3), we may conclude that the last expression is bounded, uniformly in $t$. Using a similar argument for the second product in $(5.13)$ we are lead to conclude that $E\left[(1+h)^{X_{r}+X_{t}}\right]<\infty$ for $r=1, \ldots T$. By Lemma 5.4, $u_{n} \sim \frac{\ln n}{\ln (1+\grave{\lambda})}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Bernstein's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{r}+X_{t}>2 u_{n}\right) & \leq E\left[(1+h)^{X_{r}+X_{t}}\right](1+h)^{-2 u_{n}} \\
& =O\left((1+h)^{-2 u_{n}}\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{\frac{\ln \left(1+2 h+h^{2}\right)}{\ln (1+\lambda)}}\right) \\
& =o\left(n^{-\left(1+\gamma_{T}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows by the arguments given in Hall ([19], p. 373). Moreover, in proving (5.10) and (5.11), it suffices to show by Bernstein's inequality that

$$
E\left[(1+h)^{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=T n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}}\right]
$$

and

$$
E\left[(1+h)^{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-T n_{T}^{\prime}-1} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}}\right]
$$

are bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some $h=n^{\eta}-1, \eta>0$. We can choose $\zeta$ and $\eta$ such that $2<\zeta \eta<\zeta \gamma_{T}(\delta-1)$. By (5.3), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[(1+h)^{\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}}\right] & =\prod_{s=0}^{T-1} \prod_{j=T n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} E\left[(1+h)^{\left.\beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right]}\right. \\
& =\prod_{s=0}^{T-1} \prod_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_{Z_{r-s}}\left(\beta_{j T+s} h\right) \\
& =\prod_{s=0}^{T-1} \prod_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty}\left(1+\beta_{j T+s} h E\left[Z_{r-s}\right](1+o(1))\right) \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ providing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n^{2}}{T} \sum_{r=1}^{T} P\left(\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}>\zeta\right) \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{n^{2}}{T} E\left[(1+h)^{\left.\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right] n^{-\zeta \eta} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar procedure can be carried out to prove (5.11). We skip the details.

Finally, the proof is completed upon showing (5.12). Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right] & =\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} E\left[Z_{r-s}\right] \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \\
& <\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} E\left[Z_{r-s}\right] \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} O\left(j^{-\delta}\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{\gamma_{T}(-\delta+1)}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var} & {\left[\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} \circ Z_{r-j T-s}\right]=} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s}^{2}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left[Z_{r-s}\right]-E\left[Z_{r-s}\right]\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty} \beta_{j T+s} E\left[Z_{r-s}\right] \\
& <\sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \sum_{j=n_{T}^{\prime}+1}^{\infty}\left(O\left(j^{-2 \delta}\right)+O\left(j^{-\delta}\right)\right) \\
& =O\left(n^{\gamma_{T}(-\delta+1)}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (5.12) holds concluding the proof.
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