EXACT FORMULAS FOR THE MOMENTS OF THE FIRST PASSAGE TIME OF REWARD PROCESSES

Authors: G.A. PARHAM

- Department of Statistics, Shahid Chamran University, Ahwaz, Iran (Parham_g@cua.ac.ir)
- A.R. Soltani
- Department of Statistics, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract:

• Let $\{\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a reward process based on a semi-Markov process $\{\mathcal{J}(t), t \geq 0\}$ and a reward function ρ . Let T_z be the first passage time of $\{\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t), t \geq 0\}$ from $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(0) = 0$ to a prespecified level z. In this article we provide the Laplace transform of the $E[T_z^k]$ and obtain the exact formulas for ET_z , ET_z^2 and $\operatorname{var}(T_z)$. Formulas for certain type I counter models are given.

Key-Words:

• Semi-Markov process; reward process; Laplace transform; first passage time.

AMS Subject Classification:

• 49A05, 78B26.

G.A. Parham and A.R. Soltani

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{\mathcal{J}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a semi-Markov process with a Markov renewal process $\{(\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{T}_n), n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$. The state space of $\{\mathcal{J}_n\}$ is assumed to be $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ..., N\}$. A reward process is a certain functional that is defined on a semi-Markov process (Markov renewal process) by

(1)
$$\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t) = \sum_{n: \mathcal{T}_{n+1} < t} \rho(\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{T}_{n+1} - \mathcal{T}_n) + \rho(\mathcal{J}(t), X(t)) ,$$

where X(t) is the age process. The function ρ in (1) is a real function of two variables; $\rho : \mathcal{N} \times R \to R$, and $\rho(i, \tau)$ measures the excess reward when time τ is spent in the state *i*. The process $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)$ given by (1) provides the cumulative reward at time *t*, under the given reward function ρ . This process was introduced and studied in [4], for general ρ . For $\rho(i, \tau) = i\tau$, the reward process $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)$ has been treated by different authors, see [1] [2] [5]. Let T_z be the first passage time of $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)$ from $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(0) = 0$ to a prespecified level *z*. Asymptotic behaviors of ET_z, ET_z^2 as $z \to \infty$, were obtained in [5] for $\rho(i, x) = ix$, and in [3] for general ρ . In this article we provide exact formulas for ET_z, ET_z^2 and $\operatorname{var}(T_z)$, under general ρ . We apply our formulas to certain type I counter models and provide precise results. The main results are Theorems 2.1, 3.1, Corollary 3.1, Remark 3.1, and formulas (23), (24).

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\{\mathcal{J}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a semi-Markov process and $\{(\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{T}_n), n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ be a Markov renewal process, where \mathcal{J}_n is a Markov chain in discrete time on state space $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ..., N\}$, and \mathcal{T}_n is the *n*-th transition epoch with $\mathcal{T}_0 = 0$. The behavior of the Markov renewal process is governed by a semi-Markov matrix $A(x) = [A_{ij}(x)]$, where

(2)
$$A_{ij}(x) = P\left\{ \mathcal{J}_{n+1} = j, \ \mathcal{T}_{n+1} - \mathcal{T}_n \leq x \mid \mathcal{J}_n = i \right\}.$$

We assume that the stochastic matrix $P = [P_{ij}] = A(\infty)$ governing the embedded Markov chain $\{\mathcal{J}_n : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is aperiodic and irreducible. For convenience let,

(3)
$$A_{k:ij} = \int_0^\infty x^k A_{ij}(dx) ,$$
$$A_{k:i} = \int_0^\infty x^k A_i(dx) , \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

if they exist, where

$$A_i(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} A_{ij}$$
, $\overline{A}_i(x) = 1 - A_i(x)$.

We note that $A_i(x) = P\{\mathcal{T}_{n+1} - \mathcal{T}_n \leq x \mid \mathcal{J}_n = i\}$ is the cumulative distribution function of the dwell time of the semi-Markov process at state *i*, and $\overline{A}_i(x)$ is the corresponding survival function. Let $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if i = j and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. We define,

(4)

$$A_D(x) = [\delta_{ij}A_j(x)] , \quad \overline{A}_D(x) = [\delta_{ij}\overline{A}_j(x)] , \\
A_k = [A_{k:ij}], \quad A_{D:k} = [\delta_{ij}A_{k:i}] , \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that $A_{D:0} = I$. The Laplace–Stieltjes transform of A(x) is denoted by

(5)
$$\alpha(s) = [\alpha_{ij}(s)], \qquad \alpha_{ij}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} A_{ij}(dx) ,$$

Laplace–Stieltjes transforms $\alpha_i(s)$, $\alpha_D(s)$, etc. are defined similarly. We define *n*-fold convolution A(x) by

$$A^{(n)}(x) = \int_0^x A(dx') A^{(n-1)}(x - x') ,$$

$$A^{(0)}_{jk}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \\ \delta_{jk} & \text{if } t \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_{jk}^{(n)}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0\\ \sum_{\nu} \int_{0}^{t} A_{j\nu}(dy) A_{\nu k}^{(n-1)}(t-y) & \text{if } t \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

if M is a matrix of measures and N is a matrix of measurable functions, the convolution of M and N (written M * N) is defined by $M * N(t) = [(M * N)_{ik}(t)]$, where

$$M * N_{jk}(t) = \sum_{\nu} \int_0^t M_{j\nu}(dy) N_{\nu k}(t-y) .$$

Let A(x) be a semi-Markov matrix. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{(n)}(x)$$

is called the Markov renewal matrix corresponding to A(x). Also denote the Laplace transform of the Markov renewal matrix by

$$\mathcal{L}_s[\mathcal{A}] = \frac{1}{s} \left[I - \alpha(s) \right]^{-1}$$

The transition probability matrix of J(t) is denoted by P(t), i.e.,

(6)
$$P(t) = [P_{ij}(t)], \qquad P_{ij}(t) = P\left\{J(t) = j \mid J(0) = i\right\}.$$

The state probability vector at time t, $p'(t) = (p_0(t), p_1(t), ..., p_N(t))$, is given by p'(t) = p'(0)P(t), where p'(0) is the initial probability vector. In this article <u>e</u> is the unit vector, i.e., $\underline{e} = (1, ..., 1)'$. Let X(t) be the age process, i.e., the time elapsed at time t since the last transition of J(t), $X(t) = t - T_n$, where $n = \sup\{m : T_m \leq t\}$. The joint distributions corresponding to the bivariate process $\{(\mathcal{J}(t), X(t)), t \geq 0\}$ and the trivariate process $\{(\mathcal{J}(t), X(t), \mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)), t \geq 0\}$, respectively, are given by

(7)

$$G_{ij}(x,t) = P\left\{\mathcal{J}(t) = j, \ X(t) \le x \mid \mathcal{J}(0) = i\right\},$$

$$F_{ij}(x,z,t) = P\left\{\mathcal{J}(t) = j, \ X(t) \le x, \ \mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t) \le z \mid \mathcal{J}(0) = i\right\}.$$

The Laplace transform of $F_{ij}(x, z, t)$ is denoted by

(8)
$$\phi_{ij}(v,\omega,s) = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-vx-\omega z-st} F_{ij}(dx,dz,t) dt ,$$

in the matrix form $\phi(v, \omega, s) = [\phi_{ij}(v, \omega, s)]$. It is demonstrated in [4] that the following informative transform formula plays a crucial role in studying the statistical properties of the reward process (1), see also [5],

(9)
$$\phi(v,\omega,s) = [I - C(\omega,s)]^{-1} E_D(\omega,v+s) ,$$

where

(

10)

$$C(w,s) = [C_{kj}(\omega,s)], \qquad C_{kj}(w,s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\rho(k,x) - sx} A_{kj}(dx),$$

$$E_D(\omega,s) = [\delta_{kj}E_j(\omega,s)], \qquad E_j(\omega,s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\rho(j,x) - sx} \overline{A}_j(x) dx.$$

Let z be a given level, then the first passage time of the level z for $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)$, given $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(0) = 0$, is defined by

$$T_z = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : \mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t) = z \mid \mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(0) = 0 \right\}.$$

Clearly

(11)
$$P\{T_z > t\} = P\{\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t) < z\} .$$

Let H(z, t) be the distribution of T_z , and denote the Laplace transform of $E[e^{-sT_z}]$ by

(12)
$$\psi(\omega,s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega z} E[e^{-sT_z}] dz .$$

Similarly we denote the Laplace transform of the survival function $\overline{H}(z,t) = P\{T_z > t\}$ by $\overline{\psi}(\omega, s)$. We recall from [5] that,

(13)
$$\psi(\omega, s) = 1 - s \overline{\psi}(\omega, s) ,$$

where $s \in D_0 = \{u : \operatorname{Re}(u) > 0\}$ and $\omega \in \operatorname{Im} = \{u : u = it, t \in R\}$. The following theorem was provided in [2] for $\rho(i, x) = ix$, and in [3] for general ρ .

Theorem 2.1.

(14)
$$\overline{\psi}(\omega,s) = \frac{1}{\omega} p'(0) \left[I - C(\omega,s)\right]^{-1} E_D(\omega,s) \underline{e} , \qquad \omega, s \in D_0 .$$

For deriving the moments of T_z , we first note that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^{k} \overline{\psi}(\omega, s) = (-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega z} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k} e^{-st} P\{T_{z} > t\} dt\right) dz ,$$
$$(-1)^{k} (k+1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^{k} \overline{\psi}(\omega, s) \Big|_{s=0} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\omega z} E[T_{z}^{k+1}] dz ,$$

 $0 \leq k \leq K,$ where $E[T_z^{K+1}] < \infty$ is assumed. Hence from the formula given above and Theorem 2.1,

(15)
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega z} E[T_z^{k+1}] dz = (k+1) (-1)^k \frac{1}{\omega} p'(0) \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^k \phi(0,\omega,s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} \underline{e} .$$

In next section we use (15) to derive exact formulas for $E[T_z^k]$.

3. EXACT FORMULAS

In this section we apply (15) in order to derive formulas for ET_z , ET_z^2 , and $var(T_z)$. Throughout this section we assume that ρ satisfies the following condition.

(A) For each $k, \rho(k, x): [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is one to one, admits a continuously differential inverse, and $\rho(k, 0) = 0$.

We also introduce the following matrices:

$$F(t) = \left[\delta_{kj} (\rho^{-1}(k,t))' \,\overline{A}_k(\rho^{-1}(k,t)) \right],$$

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{(n)}(t) ,$$

$$K(t) = \left[\delta_{kj} \,\rho^{-1}(k,t) \,(\rho^{-1}(k,t))' \,\overline{A}_k(\rho^{-1}(k,t)) \right],$$

$$D(t) = \left[\int_0^t \rho^{-1}(k,x) \, dB_{kj}(x) \right],$$

where B is the matrix with entries $B_{kj}(z) = A_{kj}(\rho^{-1}(k, z))$ and $B^{(n)}$ is n-fold convolution of B.

Theorem 3.1. Let T_z be the first passage time of the reward process $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t), t \geq 0$, given by (1) with a reward function $\rho(k, x), k \in \mathcal{N}, x \geq 0$, that satisfying condition (A). If $\mathcal{B}(t)$ exist then

(a)
$$ET_z = p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * F(x) \, dx \right\} \underline{e} ,$$

(**b**)
$$ET_z^2 = 2p'(0)\left\{\int_0^z \mathcal{B} * K(x)\,dx\right\}\underline{e} + 2p'(0)\left\{\int_0^z \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F(x)\,dx\right\}\underline{e},$$

(c)
$$\operatorname{var}(T_z) = 2 p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * K(x) \, dx \right\} \underline{e} + 2 p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F(x) \, dx \right\} \underline{e} - \left\{ p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * F(x) \, dx \right\} \underline{e} \right\}^2.$$

Proof: (a): By using (15) and (9) we obtain that

(16)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega}(ET_z) = \frac{1}{\omega} p'(0) \left[I - C(\omega, 0)\right]^{-1} E_D(\omega, 0) \underline{e} ,$$

where

$$C(\omega,0) = [C_{kj}(\omega,0)] ,$$

with

$$C_{kj}(\omega,0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\rho(k,x)} \, dA_{kj}(x) \; .$$

Now for each k, j, let $B_{kj}(\Delta) = A_{kj}\{x \in [0,\infty): \rho(k,x) \in \Delta\}, \Delta \subset [0,\infty)$, then $B_{kj}(.)$ is a probability distribution on $[0,\infty)$ and it follows by change of variable that,

$$C_{kj}(\omega, 0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} dB_{kj}(t)$$
$$= \beta_{kj}(\omega) .$$

Therefore $C_{kj}(\omega, 0)$ is the Laplace transform of the distribution B_{kj} , and in matrix form

(17)
$$[I - C(\omega, 0)]^{-1} = [I - \beta(\omega)]^{-1} .$$

Also note that

$$E_D(\omega,0) = [\delta_{ij}E_j(\omega,0)] ,$$

where

$$E_j(\omega,0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\rho(j,x)} \overline{A}_j(x) \, dx \; ,$$

and it follows by change of variable that

$$E_j(\omega,0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} (\rho^{-1}(j,t))' \overline{A}_j(\rho^{-1}(j,t)) dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} F(j,t) dt .$$

Therefore in matrix form we have

(18)
$$E_D(\omega,0) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} F(t) dt .$$

If we replace (17) and (18) in (16) we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega}(ET_z) = p'(0) \frac{1}{\omega} [I - \beta(\omega)]^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(F(t)) \underline{e}$$
$$= p'(0) \frac{1}{\omega} \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(\mathcal{B}(t)) \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(F(t)) \underline{e} ,$$

or equivalently

$$ET_z = p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * F(t) \, dt \right\} \underline{e} ,$$

giving (a).

(b): It follows from (15) that

(19)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega} E[T_z^2] = -2 \frac{1}{\omega} p'(0) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \phi(0, \omega, s) \Big|_{s=0} \right\} \underline{e} .$$

But from (9),

(20)
$$\frac{\partial \phi(0,\omega,s)}{\partial s} = [I - C(\omega,s)]^{-1} \frac{\partial C(\omega,s)}{\partial s} [I - C(\omega,s)]^{-1} E_D(\omega,s) + [I - C(\omega,s)]^{-1} \frac{\partial E_D(\omega,s)}{\partial s},$$

where

$$C_{kj}(\omega,s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\rho(k,x)-sx} \, dA_{kj}(x) ,$$
$$\frac{\partial C_{kj}(\omega,s)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\int_0^\infty x \, e^{-\omega\rho(k,x)} \, dA_{kj}(x) .$$

Again it follows by change of variable that

$$\frac{\partial C_{kj}(\omega,s)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \rho^{-1}(k,t) \, dB_{kj}(t) \; .$$

Therefore in matrix form

(21)
$$\frac{\partial C(\omega, s)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \rho_D^{-1}(t) \, dB(t)$$
$$= -\mathcal{L}_\omega(D) \; ,$$

where

$$D(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \rho_D^{-1}(t) \, dB(t) \, ,$$

$$\rho_D^{-1}(t) = \left[\delta_{kj} \, \rho^{-1}(k,t) \right] \, .$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{\partial E_k(\omega,s)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\int_0^\infty x \, e^{-\omega\rho(k,x)} \,\overline{A}_k(x) \, dx \, ,$$

and using change of variable

$$\frac{\partial E_k(\omega,s)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \rho^{-1}(k,t) \left(\rho^{-1}(k,t)\right)' \overline{A}_k(\rho^{-1}(k,t)) dt$$
$$= -\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} K(k,t) dt .$$

Therefore in matrix form

(22)
$$\frac{\partial E_D(\omega, s)}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = -\int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} K(t) dt$$
$$= -\mathcal{L}_\omega(K) .$$

By replacing (17), (18), (21) and (22) in (20), we obtain from (19) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega}(ET_{z}^{2}) = 2 p'(0) \frac{1}{\omega} [I - \beta(\omega)]^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(D(t)) [I - \beta(\omega)]^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(F(t)) \underline{e} + 2 p'(0) \frac{1}{\omega} [I - \beta(\omega)]^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\omega}(K(t)) \underline{e} ,$$

or

$$ET_z^2 = 2p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * K(x) \, dx \right\} \underline{e} + 2p'(0) \left\{ \int_0^z \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F(x) \, dx \right\} \underline{e} .$$

et (c) Follows from (a) and (b).

Part (c) Follows from (a) and (b).

Corollary 3.1. Let
$$\rho(k, x) = g_n(k)x^n$$
, $k \in \mathcal{N}$, $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $g_n(k) > 0$.
If $\mathcal{B}(t)$ exists, then the formulas (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.
Moreover

$$F(t) = \left[\delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n\sqrt[n]{\rho_j t^{n-1}}} \overline{A}_j \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{t}{\rho_j}}\right)\right],$$

$$B(t) = \left[B_{ij}\right], \qquad B_{ij}(t) = A_{ij} \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{t}{\rho_j}}\right),$$

$$K(t) = \left[\delta_{ij} \frac{1}{n\sqrt[n]{\rho_j^2 t^{n-2}}} \left(1 - A_j \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{t}{\rho_j}}\right)\right)\right],$$

$$D(t) = \left[\int_0^t \frac{1}{n\sqrt[n]{\rho_j^2 x^{n-2}}} dA_{ij} \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{x}{\rho_j}}\right)\right].$$

Proof: The reward function satisfies condition (A), therefore Theorem 3.1 can be applied. $\hfill \Box$

Remark 3.1. Let n = 1 in Corollary 3.1, i.e., the reward function is linear. Then Corollary 3.1 holds with n = 1.

4. APPLICATIONS TO CERTAIN TYPE I COUNTERS MODELS

Arrivals at a counter form a Poisson process with rate q. An arriving particle that finds the counter free gets registered and locks it for a random duration with distribution function F(t). Arrivals during a locked periods have no effect whatsover. Suppose a registration occurs at $T_0 = 0$, and write $T_0, T_1, T_2, ...$ for the successive epochs of changes in the state of the counter. Write $X_n = 1$ or 0 according as the *n*-th change locks or frees the counter. Clearly $X_0 = 1$, $X_1 = 0$, $X_2 = 1$, $X_3 = 0$, ... and (X_n, T_n) is a Markov renewal process. Its semi-Markov matrix is

$$A(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 - e^{-qx} \\ F(x) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $F(x) = 1 - e^{-2qx}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)$ be the reward process that is defined by (1) with reward function $\rho(k, x) = \rho_k x$, $\rho_0 = 1$, $\rho_1 = 2$. Let T_z be the first passage time reward process $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(t)$ from $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho}(0) = 0$ to a prespecified level z. We apply the formulas of the previous section to give explicit expressions for ET_z and ET_z^2 . Note that for each k, j

$$B_{kj}(t) = A_{kj}\left(\frac{t}{\rho_k}\right),$$

$$B(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 - e^{-qt} \\ 1 - e^{-qt} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B^{(0)}(t) = I.$$

By induction it follows that

$$B^{(2n+1)}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_{01}^{(2n+1)} \\ B_{10}^{(2n+1)} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$B_{01}^{(2n+1)} = B_{10}^{(2n+1)} = 1 - e^{-qt} - qte^{-qt} - \frac{q^2t^2}{2!}e^{-qt} - \dots - \frac{q^{2n}t^{2n}}{2n!}e^{-qt} ,$$

and

and

$$B^{(2n)}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} B_{00}^{(2n)} & 0\\ 0 & B_{11}^{(2n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_{00}^{(2n)} = B_{11}^{(2n)} = 1 - e^{-qt} - qte^{-qt} - \frac{q^2t^2}{2}e^{-qt} - \frac{q^3t^3}{3!}e^{-qt} - \dots - \frac{q^{2n-1}t^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}e^{-qt}.$$
There is

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{00}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{00}^{(n)}(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - e^{-qt} \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \frac{(qt)^k}{k!} \right], \\ \mathcal{B}_{00}(t) &= \mathcal{B}_{11}(t) , \\ \mathcal{B}_{01}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{01}^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - e^{-qt} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(qt)^k}{k!} \right], \\ \mathcal{B}_{01}(t) &= \mathcal{B}_{10}(t) , \\ \mathcal{B}_{00}(t) &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - P(Y \le 2n + 1) \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n + 1) , \\ \mathcal{B}_{01}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - P(Y \le 2n) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n) , \end{aligned}$$

where Y is a Poisson random variable with $\lambda = qt$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n+1) & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n) \\ & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n) & 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n+1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The derivation of $\mathcal{B}(t)$ can be simplified by noting that if

$$p_k = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$

where $\lambda = qt$, then

$$P_E \equiv P\{Y \text{even}\} = \sum_{k \in \{0,2,4,\ldots\}} p_k$$

and

$$P_O \equiv P\{Y \text{odd}\} = \sum_{k \in \{1,3,5,\ldots\}} p_k$$

implying that (after simplication)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n+1) = (p_2 + 2p_4 + 3p_6 + ...) + (p_3 + 2p_5 + 3p_7 + ...)$$
$$= \frac{\lambda}{2} P_O + \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2} (P_E - e^{-\lambda}) - \frac{1}{2} (P_O - \lambda e^{-\lambda}) \right\}$$
$$= \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{P_O}{2} ,$$

similarly

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n) = (p_1 + 2p_3 + 3p_5 + ...) + (p_2 + 2p_4 + 3p_6 + ...)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lambda P_E + P_O \right\} + \frac{\lambda}{2} P_O$$
$$= \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{P_O}{2} .$$

Now if $P(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k = e^{-\lambda + \lambda s}$, then

$$P(1) = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \dots = 1 = P_O + P_E ,$$

$$P(-1) = p_0 - p_1 + p_2 - p_3 + \dots = e^{-2\lambda} = P_E - P_O ,$$

implying $P_E = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2\lambda})$ and $P_O = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2\lambda})$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n+1) = \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{4} = \frac{qt}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{e^{-2qt}}{4} ,$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Y > 2n) = \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{4} = \frac{qt}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{e^{-2qt}}{4} ,$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{qt}{2} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{e^{-2qt}}{4} & \frac{qt}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{e^{-2qt}}{4} \\ \frac{qt}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{e^{-2qt}}{4} & \frac{qt}{2} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{e^{-2qt}}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \\ F(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-qt} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-qt} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad K(t) = \begin{bmatrix} te^{-qt} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t}{4}e^{-qt} \end{bmatrix}, \\ dD(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & qte^{-qt} \\ \frac{qt}{2}e^{-qt} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{B} * F(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} d\mathcal{B}(x) F(t-x) , \end{split}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{B} * F(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \{1 - 2e^{-qt} + e^{-2qt}\} & \frac{1}{4} \{1 - e^{-2qt}\} \\ \frac{1}{2} \{1 - e^{-2qt}\} & \frac{1}{4} \{1 - 2e^{-qt} + e^{-2qt}\} \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\int_0^z \mathcal{B} * F(x) \, dx = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z}{2} - \frac{3}{4q} + \frac{1}{q}e^{-qz} - \frac{1}{4q}e^{-2qz} & \frac{z}{4} - \frac{1}{8q} + \frac{1}{8q}e^{-2qz} \\ \frac{z}{2} - \frac{1}{4q} + \frac{1}{4q}e^{-2qz} & \frac{z}{4} - \frac{3}{8q} + \frac{1}{2q}e^{-qz} - \frac{1}{8q}e^{-2qz} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the example $X_0 = 1$, the initial probability vector is clearly p'(0) = (1, 0), then

.

(23)
$$ET_z = \frac{3}{4}z - \frac{7}{8q} + \frac{1}{q}e^{-qz} - \frac{1}{8q}e^{-2qz}$$

$$\mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{00}(x) & \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{01}(x) \\ \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{10}(x) & \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{11}(x) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{00}(x) &= \frac{1}{8} \left\{ 3x - \frac{9}{q} + \frac{9}{q} e^{-2qx} + 12xe^{-qx} + 3xe^{-2qx} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{01}(x) &= \frac{1}{16} \left\{ 3x - \frac{10}{q} + \frac{12}{q} e^{-qx} - \frac{10}{q} e^{-2qx} - 3xe^{-2qx} + 4qx^2e^{-qx} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{10}(x) &= \frac{1}{8} \left\{ 3x - \frac{8}{q} + \frac{16}{q} e^{-qx} - \frac{8}{q} e^{-2qx} - 3xe^{-2qx} + 2qx^2e^{-qx} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F_{10}(x) &= \frac{1}{8} \left\{ 3x - \frac{9}{q} + \frac{9}{q} e^{-2qx} + 12xe^{-qx} + 3xe^{-2qx} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\mathcal{B} * K(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q} e^{-2qx} - 2xe^{-qx} \right\} & \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \frac{1}{q} - \frac{2}{q} e^{-qx} + \frac{1}{q} e^{-2qx} \right\} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q} e^{-2qx} - \frac{2}{q} e^{-qx} \right\} & \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \frac{1}{q} - 2xe^{-qx} - \frac{1}{q} e^{-2qx} \right\} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we replace $\mathcal{B} * D * \mathcal{B} * F(x)$ and $\mathcal{B} * K(x)$ in formula (b) of Corollary 3.1, we get

$$ET_{z}^{2} = \frac{1}{16} \left\{ 9z^{2} - \frac{36}{q}z + \frac{103}{2q^{2}} - \frac{48}{q^{2}}e^{-qz} - \frac{7}{2q^{2}}e^{-2qz} - \frac{32}{q}ze^{-qz} + \frac{3}{q}ze^{-2qz} + 8z^{2}e^{-qz} \right\}.$$

Remark 4.1. The asymptotic behaviors of ET_z , ET_z^2 were derived in [5] for $\rho(k, x) = \rho_k x$, and in [3] for general ρ . For the case considered in the Example given above,

$$ET_{z} = \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}^{**}}z + p'(0)\left\{H_{0}^{**}A_{D:1} - \frac{1}{2}H_{1}^{**}\rho_{D:1}A_{D:2}\right\}\underline{e} + o(1) ,$$

$$ET_{z}^{2} = \left\{\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}^{**}}\right\}^{2}z^{2} - p'(0)\left\{2V_{1}^{**}A_{D:1} - [V_{2}^{**} + H_{1}^{**}A_{D:2}]\right\}\underline{e}z + o(z) ,$$

as $z \to \infty$, where $m_1 = \pi' A_1 \underline{e}$, $\rho_{D:1} =$ diagonal matrix of ρ_i ,

$$B_{k} = \rho_{D:k}A_{k} , \qquad m_{1}^{**} = \pi'B_{1}\underline{e} , \qquad H_{1}^{**} = \frac{1}{m_{1}^{**}}\underline{e}\pi' , \qquad Z_{0} = [I - P + e\pi']^{-1} ,$$

$$H_{0}^{**} = \frac{1}{m_{1}^{**}}\underline{e}\pi' \left\{ -B_{1} + \frac{1}{2m_{1}^{**}}B_{2}\underline{e}\pi' \right\} + \left\{ Z_{0} - \frac{1}{m_{1}^{**}}\underline{e}\pi'B_{1}Z_{0} \right\} \left\{ P - \frac{1}{m_{1}^{**}}B_{1}\underline{e}\pi' \right\} ,$$

$$V_{1}^{**} = (H_{1}^{**}\rho_{D:1}A_{2} - H_{0}^{**}A_{1})H_{1}^{**} - H_{1}^{**}A_{1}H_{0}^{**} ,$$

$$V_{2}^{**} = -H_{1}^{**}A_{1}H_{1}^{**}\rho_{D:1}A_{D:2} .$$

For the semi-Markov A(x) defined above

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{q} \\ \frac{1}{2q} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{D:1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{q} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2q} \end{bmatrix}.$$
$$A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{q^{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2q^{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{D:2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{q^{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2q^{2}} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\pi' P = \pi' \implies \pi' = (0.5, 0.5),$$
$$m_{1} = \pi' A_{1} \underline{e} = \frac{3}{4q},$$
$$\rho_{D:1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B_{1} = \rho_{D:1} A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{q} \\ \frac{1}{q} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$m_{1}^{**} = \pi' B_{1} \underline{e} = \frac{1}{q},$$
$$B_{2} = \rho_{D:2} A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{2}{q^{2}} \\ \frac{2}{q^{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$Z_{0} = [I - P + e \pi']^{-1},$$

therefore

$$Z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$H_1^{**} = \frac{1}{m_1^{**}} \underline{e} \pi',$$

therefore

$$H_1^{**} = q \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_0^{**} = \frac{1}{m_1^{**}} \underline{e} \, \pi' \left\{ -B_1 + \frac{1}{2m_1^{**}} \, B_2 \, \underline{e} \, \pi' \right\} + \left\{ Z_0 - \frac{1}{m_1^{**}} \, \underline{e} \, \pi' \, B_1 Z_0 \right\} \left\{ P - \frac{1}{m_1^{**}} \, B_1 \, \underline{e} \, \pi' \right\},$$

hence
$$H_0^{**} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_0^{**} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V_1^{**} = (H_1^{**}\rho_{D:1}A_2 - H_0^{**}A_1) H_1^{**} - H_1^{**}A_1 H_0^{**} ,$$

therefore

$$V_1^{**} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{16} & \frac{14}{16} \\ \frac{10}{16} & \frac{12}{16} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$V_2^{**} = -H_1^{**}A_1H_1^{**}\rho_{D:1}A_{D:2},$$
$$V_2^{**} = -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4q} & \frac{3}{8q} \\ \frac{3}{4q} & \frac{3}{8q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the example, $X_0 = 1$, so that the initial probability vector is clearly p'(0) = (1, 0). Then by replacing values in ET_z , ET_z^2 , we have

$$ET_z = \frac{3}{4}z - \frac{7}{8q} + o(1) ,$$

$$ET_z^2 = \frac{9}{16}z^2 - \frac{18}{8q}z + o(z)$$

as $z \to \infty$, which also can be observed from the formulas (23), (24), as $z \to \infty$.

,

Remark 4.2. If one wishes to compare ET_z with the asymptotic behaviour it is sensible to allow for a general initial probability vector say $p'(0) = (p_0(0), p_1(0))$. In this case

$$ET_z = \frac{3}{4}z - \frac{7p_0(0) + 5p_1(0)}{8q} + \frac{2p_0(0) + p_1(0)}{2q}e^{-qz} - \frac{p_1(0) + p_0(0)}{8q}e^{-2qz}$$
$$= \frac{3}{4}z - \frac{7p_0(0) + 5p_1(0)}{8q} + o(1) .$$

This last result is also obtained for the asymptotic expression for ET_z with a general initial probability vector.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the valuable suggestions of the referees.

REFERENCES

- MCLEAN, R.A. and NEUTS, M.F. (1967). The integral of a step function defined on a semi Markov process, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 15, 726–737.
- [2] MASUDA, Y. and SUMITA, U. (1991). A multivariate reward processes defined on a semi-Markov process and its first passage time distributions, J. Appl. Prob., 28, 360–373.
- [3] PARHAM, G.A. and SOLTANI, A.R. (1998). First passage time for reward processes with nonlinear reward function: asymptotic behavior, *Pak. J. Statist.*, **14**, 65–80.
- [4] SOLTANI, A.R. (1996). Reward Processes with nonlinear Reward Functions, J. Appl. Prob., 33, 1011–1017.
- [5] SUMITA, U. and MASUDA, Y. (1987). An Alternative Approach to the Analysis of Finite Semi-Markov and Related Processes, *Commun. Statist.-Stochastic Models*, 3, 67–87.