THE EXTREMAL INDEX OF SUB-SAMPLED PERIODIC SEQUENCES WITH STRONG LOCAL DEPENDENCE

Authors: H. FERREIRA

- Department of Mathematics, University of Beira Interior, Portugal (ferreira@fenix2.ubi.pt)
- A.P. MARTINS
- Department of Mathematics, University of Beira Interior, Portugal (amartins@noe.ubi.pt)

Received: January 2003

Revised: July 2003

Accepted: July 2003

Abstract:

• Let $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a *T*-periodic sequence. We define a family of local dependence conditions $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}), k \geq 1$, and calculate the extremal index $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$ from the distributions of *k* consecutive variables of \mathbf{X} . For a periodic sub-sampled sequence $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$, where *g* generates blocks of I_1 observations separated by *J* observations, we present results on local and long range dependence conditions and compute the extremal index $\theta_{\mathbf{Y}}$.

Key-Words:

• sub-sampling; periodic sequences; extremal index; extreme values.

H. Ferreira and A.P. Martins

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider that $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a *T*-periodic sequence of random variables, i.e., there exists an integer $T \geq 1$ such that, for each choice of integers $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_n$, $(X_{i_1}, ..., X_{i_n})$ and $(X_{i_1+T}, ..., X_{i_n+T})$ have the same distribution. The period *T* will be considered the smallest integer satisfying the above definition.

We say that a *T*-periodic sequence **X** has extremal index $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$ when, $\forall \tau > 0$, $\exists \mathbf{u}^{(\tau)} = \{u_n^{(\tau)}\}_{n \ge 1}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_i > u_n^{(\tau)}\right) = \tau$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\max\{X_1, ..., X_n\} \le u_n^{(\tau)}\right) = e^{-\theta_{\mathbf{X}}\tau} .$$

The elements of $\mathbf{u}^{(\tau)}$ are called normalized levels for **X**.

Such as happens for stationary sequences, the extremal index of a periodic sequence (Alpuim ([1]), Ferreira ([4])) enables us to infer the limiting behaviour of M_n from the limiting behaviour of $\hat{M}_n = \max\{\hat{X}_1, ..., \hat{X}_n\}, n \ge 1$, where $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \{\hat{X}_n\}_{n\ge 1}$ is a periodic sequence of independent variables such that $F_{X_i} = F_{\hat{X}_i}, \forall i \ge 1$. Specifically,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\max\{X_1, ..., X_n\} \le u_n^{(\tau)}\right) = \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\max\{\hat{X}_1, ..., \hat{X}_n\} \le u_n^{(\tau)}\right)\right)^{\theta_{\mathbf{X}}}$$

holds true.

By evaluating its extremal index $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$, we describe in section 2 the asymptotic behaviour of the partial maximum $M_n = \max\{X_1, ..., X_n\}, n \ge 1$, under the condition $D(\mathbf{u})$ of Leadbetter ([5]) and a local dependence condition that generalizes the $D^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$ of Chernick et al. ([2]).

In section 3 we give sufficient conditions for the analogous dependence conditions to hold for a sub-sampled sequence $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}_{n \ge 1}$ and we relate the extremal indexes $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{Y}}$.

There are important situations in finance, for instance, where it seems reasonable to sub-sample the process by blocks matching them with bussiness periods (Dacorogna et al. ([3])). For a complete description of the extremal behavior of sub-sampled sequences \mathbf{Y} from moving averages \mathbf{X} with regularly varying tails see Scotto and Ferreira ([10]) and references therein.

Robinson and Tawn ([9]) pointed out the importance of the sampling frequency on the extremal properties and they have showed that if the sequence $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ and the sub-sampled sequence $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{Tn}\}_{n \ge 1}$ have extremal indexes $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{Y}}$, respectively, then

$$\theta_{\mathbf{X}} \leq \theta_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq T \, \theta_{\mathbf{X}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{T-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{T} \right) \Pi(j) \right) ,$$

where $\Pi(j), j \ge 1$, are the asymptotic cluster size distributions for **X**. Moreover, the upper bound is obtained under the condition $D''(u_n)$ from Leadbetter and Nandagopalan ([6]).

Our results in section 3 enable the computation of the extremal index of periodic sub-sampled sequences $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ for g such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} = G$, under a family of local dependence conditions for T-periodic sequences. They generalize the main result in Martins and Ferreira ([7]) concerning stationary sequences satisfying the condition $D''(u_n)$ and g defined as $g(n) = (n-1) \mod I + T\left[\frac{(n-1)}{I}\right], n \geq 1$.

2. COMPUTING THE EXTREMAL INDEX UNDER $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$

We introduce a family of local dependence conditions for *T*-periodic sequences satisfying the long range dependence condition $D(\mathbf{u})$ from Leadbetter ([5]). The sequence of dependence coefficients in this condition will be referred as $\alpha^{(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{u})} = {\alpha_{n,l}^{(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{u})}}_{n\geq 1}$ and it is such that $\alpha_{n,l_n}^{(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{u})} = o(1)$ for some $l_n = o(n)$. For simplicity we omit the sequences \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{u} in these notations whenever no doubt is created.

Definition 2.1. Let $k \ge 1$ be a fixed integer and **X** a *T*-periodic sequence satisfying $D(\mathbf{u})$. The condition $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$ holds for **X** when there exists a sequence of integers $\mathbf{k} = \{k_n\}_{n\ge 1}$ such that

(2.1)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} k_n = +\infty , \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n \frac{l_n}{n} = 0 , \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} k_n \alpha_{n, l_n} = 0 ,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S^{(k)}_{\left[\frac{n}{k_n T}\right]} = 0 \; ,$$

where

$$S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n}T}\right]}^{(1)} = n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n}T}\right]T} P\left(X_{i} > u_{n}, X_{j} > u_{n}\right)$$

and, for $k \geq 2$,

$$S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n}T}\right]}^{(k)} = n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=i+k}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_{n}T}\right]T} P\left(X_{i} > u_{n}, X_{j-1} \le u_{n} < X_{j}\right) \,.$$

The extremal behaviour of **X** has already been considered in Ferreira ([4]) under the conditions $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$, for k = 1, 2.

If $\max\{X_i, X_{i+1}, ..., X_j\}$ is denoted by $M_{i,j}^{(\mathbf{X})}$ and we put $M_{i,j}^{(\mathbf{X})} = -\infty$ for i > j, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_{[\frac{n}{k_n T}]}^{(k)} = 0$ implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=i+k}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_n T}\right]T} P\left(X_i > u_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}, X_j > u_n\right) = 0 ,$$

which leads to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\Big(X_i > u_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}, M_{i+k,[\frac{n}{k_n T}]T} > u_n\Big) = 0.$$

This last restriction, when T = 1, is the one considered in $D^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$ by Chernick et al. ([2]) for stationary sequences. Under $D^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$ they compute $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$ from the distribution of the first k variables of \mathbf{X} and apply the result to several autoregressive sequences. In the following we will extend their results for periodic sequences.

Proposition 2.1. If the *T*-periodic sequence **X** satisfies $D(\mathbf{u})$ and $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$ then

$$P\left(M_n \le u_n\right) - \exp\left(\frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T P\left(X_i > u_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}\right)\right) = o(1) .$$

Proof: Under $D(\mathbf{u})$ we have, for \mathbf{k} as in (2.1),

$$P\left(M_n \le u_n\right) - P^{k_n}\left(M_{\left[\frac{n}{k_n T}\right]T} \le u_n\right) = o(1) ,$$

and therefore it is enough to proof that

(2.2)
$$P\left(M_{[\frac{n}{k_nT}]T} > u_n\right) - \frac{\frac{n}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T P\left(X_i > u_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}\right)}{k_n} = o(1) .$$

Since, by applying $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u})$,

$$P\left(M_{\left[\frac{n}{k_nT}\right]T} > u_n\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{k_nT}\right]T} \left\{X_i > u_n \ge M_{i+1,\left[\frac{n}{k_nT}\right]T}\right\}\right)$$
$$= \left[\frac{n}{k_nT}\right]\sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_i > u_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}\right) - A_n$$

holds with $k_n A_n \leq S_{\left[\frac{n}{k_n T}\right]}^{(k)} = o(1)$, we conclude (2.2).

As a consequence of this result we compute the extremal index as follows.

Corollary 2.1. If the *T*-periodic sequence **X** satisfies $D(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau)}$ and $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{v})$ for some $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(\tau_0)}$ then there exists $\theta_{\mathbf{X}}$ if and only if there exists

$$\nu_{\mathbf{X}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_i > v_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}\right) ,$$

and in this case it holds

$$\theta_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\nu_{\mathbf{X}}}{\tau_0} \ .$$

We can apply this result to calculate the extremal index of a T-periodic moving average, following the approach of Chernick et al. ([2]) for the stationary case.

Let $\mathbf{Z} = \{Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a *T*-periodic sequence of independent variables with regularly varying equivalent tails with exponent $-\alpha$ satisfying

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{P(Z_i > x)}{P(Z_j > x)} = \gamma_{i,j}^{(+)} > 0, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{P(Z_i < -x)}{P(Z_j < -x)} = \gamma_{i,j}^{(-)} > 0 , \qquad i, j = 1, ..., T ,$$

and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{P(Z_i > x)}{P(|Z_i| > x)} = p_i \in [0, 1] , \quad i = 1, ..., T .$$

For $\tau_i > 0$, i=1,...,T, and $\tau = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \tau_i$, let $\mathbf{u}^{(\tau)}$ be defined by

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} nP(|Z_i| > u_n) = \tau_i \bigg/ \left\{ p_i \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{i-s,i}^{(+)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [c_{jT+s}^+]^{\alpha} + q_i \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{i-s,i}^{(-)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [c_{jT+s}^-]^{\alpha} \right\},$$

where $q_i = 1 - p_i$, $c_j^+ = \max\{c_j, 0\}$, $c_j^- = \max\{-c_j, 0\}$ and $\mathbf{c} = \{c_j\}$ is a sequence of constants such that $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |c_j|^{\delta} < +\infty$ for some $\delta < \min\{\alpha, 1\}$.

For the *T*-periodic moving average $X_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_j Z_{n-j}$, $n \ge 1$, by applying our result to the 2*m*-dependent *T*-periodic sequence $X_n^{(m)} = \sum_{j=-m}^m c_j Z_{n-j}$ and following in a straighforward way the reasoning of Chernick et al. ([2]), we find

$$\theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i,1} \left\{ p_i \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{i-s,i}^{(+)} c_s^+(\alpha) + q_i \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{i-s,i}^{(-)} c_s^-(\alpha) \right\}}{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \gamma_{i,1} \left\{ p_i \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{i-s,i}^{(+)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [c_{jT+s}^+]^{\alpha} + q_i \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{i-s,i}^{(-)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} [c_{jT+s}^-]^{\alpha} \right\}},$$

where

$$c_s^+(\alpha) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left([c_{jT+s}^+]^{\alpha} - \max_{r>jT+s} \{c_r^+\}^{\alpha} \right)^+, \quad c_s^-(\alpha) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left([c_{jT+s}^-]^{\alpha} - \max_{r>jT+s} \{c_r^-\}^{\alpha} \right)^+.$$

For details on the proofs of this example see Martins and Ferreira ([8]).

3. PERIODIC SUB-SAMPLED SEQUENCE

We first set sufficient conditions for the previous results to hold for $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}_{n\geq 1}$. Let $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a strictly increasing function for which there exists positive integers I_1 and I_2 such that, $\forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds $g(n+kI_1) = g(n) + kI_2$. We will refer such g as an I_1, I_2 -periodic function and suppose that I_1 and I_2 are the smallest integers satisfying the definition.

Therefore $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}_{n \ge 1}$ is obtained from \mathbf{X} by sub-sampling blocks of I_1 variables separated by $J = I_2 - (g(I_1) - g(1)) - 1 \ge 1$ variables.

In a particular case considered in Scotto and Ferreira ([10]), **X** is a stationary moving average with heavy-tailed innovations and g generates blocks of I_1 consecutive observations separated by $J \ge 1$ observations.

Proposition 3.1. If **X** is a *T*-periodic sequence and *g* is an I_1, I_2 -periodic function with I_2 a multiple of *T*, then $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{q(n)}\}$ is an I_1 -periodic sequence.

Proof: For each choice of integers $1 \le i_1 < ... < i_n$, $p \ge 1$, we have

$$(Y_{i_1+I_1}, ..., Y_{i_n+I_1}) = (X_{g(i_1+I_1)}, ..., X_{g(i_n+I_1)}) =$$

= $(X_{g(i_1)+I_2}, ..., X_{g(i_n)+I_2}) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_{g(i_1)}, ..., X_{g(i_n)}) = (Y_{i_1}, ..., Y_{i_n})$.

In the next result, we denote a sequence **u** such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} nP(X_i > u_n^{(\tau_i)}) = \tau_i$ by $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau_i, X_i)}$. From the definition of normalized levels and $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{X}$ we give a simple procedure to get $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(\tau, \mathbf{Y})}$ with $\tau = \frac{1}{I_1} \sum_{i=1}^{I_1} G^{-1} \tau_{g(i)}$ and $G = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let **X** be a *T*-periodic sequence and *g* an I_1, I_2 -periodic function with I_2 a multiple of *T*. If $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} = G$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau_i, X_i)}, i = 1, ..., T$, then $\mathbf{v} = \{u_{g(n)}\}$ satisfies:

(i)
$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(G^{-1}\tau_i, X_i)}, \quad i = 1, ..., T.$$

(ii) $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(G^{-1}\tau_{g(i)}, Y_i)}, \quad i = 1, ..., I_1, \text{ and } \{\tau_{g(1)}, ..., \tau_{g(I_1)}\} \subset \{\tau_1, ..., \tau_T\}.$

For $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau'_i, X_i)}$, with $\tau'_i = G\tau_i$, i = 1, ..., T, we have $\mathbf{v} = \{u_{g(n)}\} = \mathbf{v}^{(\tau_i, Y_i)}$ and we can easily get $\alpha_{n, l_{g(n)}^{(\mathbf{X})}}^{(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{v})} \leq \alpha_{g(n), l_{g(n)}^{(\mathbf{X})}}^{(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})}$ with $l_{g(n)}^{(\mathbf{X})} = o(n)$.

Moreover, if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(\tau_{0,i},X_i)}, i = 1, ..., T$, then $\mathbf{w} = \{v_{[nI_2/I_1]}\}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau_{0,i}I_1/I_2,X_i)} , \quad i = 1,...,T ,$$
$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau_{0,g(i)}I_1/I_2,Y_i)} , \quad i = 1,...,I_1$$

and

$$S^{(k,\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{w})}_{[rac{n}{k_nI_1}]} \leq A \, S^{(k,\mathbf{X},\mathbf{w})}_{[rac{n}{k'_nT}]}$$

where A is a constant and $k'_n = k_{[nI_1/I_2]}$.

These are the main arguments to obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.3. Let **X** be a *T*-periodic sequence **X** satisfying $D(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau_i, X_i)}$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., T\}$ and $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{v})$ for some $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(\tau_{0,i}, X_i)}$, i = 1, ..., T, with $\mathbf{k}' = \{k_{[nI_1/I_2]}\}$ and $\mathbf{k} = \{k_n\}$ as in (2.1). Then, for *g* as in the above proposition, $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}$ satisfies:

(i)
$$D(\mathbf{u})$$
 for all $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau_i, Y_i)}, i = 1, ..., I_1,$

(ii)
$$D_{I_1}^{(k)}(\mathbf{w})$$
 for $\mathbf{w} = \{v_{[nI_2/I_1]}\} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau_{0,g(i)I_1/I_2},Y_i)}, i = 1, ..., I_1, with \mathbf{k} = \{k_n\}.$

We will assume that **X** is in the conditions of Proposition 3.3 and calculate the extremal index of the periodic sub-sampled sequence $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}$ as a consequence of this proposition and Corollary 2.1.

Proposition 3.4. Let **X** be a *T*-periodic sequence **X** satisfying $D(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^{(\tau_i, X_i)}$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., T\}$ and $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{v})$ for some $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(\tau_{0,i}, X_i)}$, i = 1, ..., T, with $\mathbf{k}' = \{k_{[nI_1/I_2]}\}$ and $\mathbf{k} = \{k_n\}$ as in (2.1). Then, for *g* as in the above proposition, $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}$ has extremal index $\theta_{\mathbf{Y}}$ if and only if there exists

$$\nu_{\mathbf{Y}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \, \frac{1}{I_1} \, \sum_{i=1}^{I_1} P\left(X_{g(i)} > v_{[nI_2/I_1]} \ge \max\left\{ X_{g(i+1)}, X_{g(i+2)}, \dots, X_{g(i+k-1)} \right\} \right) \, .$$

In this case

$$\theta_{\mathbf{Y}} = \frac{I_1 \nu_{\mathbf{Y}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{I_1} \tau_{0,g(i)}} .$$

Let

$$\nu_{\mathbf{X}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} P\left(X_i > v_n \ge M_{i+1,i+k-1}^{(\mathbf{X})}\right),$$

and $\theta_{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\nu_{\mathbf{X}}}{\tau_0}$, with $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \tau_{0,i}$.

For the particular case of $I_1 = T$ and g(i+1) = g(i), for $i = 1, ..., I_1$, we find $\theta_{\mathbf{Y}} = \theta_{\mathbf{X}} + \frac{\rho}{T\tau_0}$ where

$$\rho = \lim_{n \to \infty} n P\left(X_{g(I_1)} > v_{[nI_2/I_1]} \ge \max\left\{X_{g(1)+I_2}, X_{g(2)+I_2}, \dots, X_{g(k-1)+I_2}\right\}\right) - \lim_{n \to \infty} n P\left(X_{g(I_1)} > v_{[nI_2/I_1]} \ge M_{g(I_1)+1,g(I_1)+k-1}^{(\mathbf{X})}\right).$$

... Sub-Sampled Periodic Sequences with Strong Local Dependence

If k=1 then $\rho=0$, as expected, and for the particular cases where $1=T=I_1$ and k=2 we have very simple expressions for ρ (Martins and Ferreira ([7])). They can be applied, for instance, to calculate the extremal index of the subsampled ARMAX(α) process considered in Robinson and Tawn ([9]). For that example we find

$$\theta_{\mathbf{Y}} = \theta_{\mathbf{X}} + \frac{\rho}{\tau_0} = 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha \left(1 - \alpha^{I_2 - 1}\right) \tau_0}{\tau_0} = 1 - \alpha^{I_2} ,$$

equal to the value of Robinson and Tawn ([9]) for the sampling case $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{nI_2}\}$.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Under the local dependence condition $D_T^{(k)}(\mathbf{u}^{(\tau)})$ we compute the extremal index of the *T*-periodic sequence **X** from the *T* distributions of *k* consecutive variables as well as the extremal index of some sub-sampled I_1 -periodic sequences $\mathbf{Y} = \{X_{g(n)}\}.$

It would be interesting to apply these results to functions g used in applications and moving averages or Markov sequences **X** where $D''(u_n)$ fails. This remains as topic of future research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to a referee's corrections and rigorous report.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALPUIM, M.T. (1988). Contribuições à teoria de extremos em sucessões dependentes. Ph.D. Thesis, DEIOC, Univ. of Lisbon.
- [2] CHERNICK, M.R.; HSING, T. and MCCORMICK, W.P. (1991). Calculating the extremal index for a class of stationary sequences, *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, **23**, 835–850.
- [3] DACOROGNA, M.M.; MÜLLER, U.A.; NAGLER, R.J.; OLSEN, R.B. and PICTET, O.V. (1993). A geopraphical model for the daily and weekly seasonal volatility in the foreign exchange market, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, **12**, 413–438.
- [4] FERREIRA, H. (1994). Multivariate extreme values in *T*-periodic random sequences under mild oscillation restrictions, *Stochastic Process. Appl*, **49**, 111–125.

- [5] LEADBETTER, M.R. (1983). Extremes and local dependence in stationary sequences, Z. Wahrschtheor, 65, 291–306.
- [6] LEADBETTER, M.R. and NANDAGOPALAN, L. (1989). On exceedance point processes for stationary sequences under mild oscillation restrictions, *Lect. Notes Statist.*, **51**, 69–80.
- [7] MARTINS, A. and FERREIRA, H. (2003a). The extremal index of sub-sampled processes. To appear in *J. Statist. Plann. and Inference*.
- [8] MARTINS, A. and FERREIRA, H. (2003b). Índice extremal de médias móveis periódicas com caudas de variação regular. Pre-print. Univ. of Beira Interior.
- [9] ROBINSON, M.E. and TAWN, J.A. (2000). Extremal analysis of processes sampled at different frequencies, *J.R. Statist. Soc. B*, **62**, 117–135.
- [10] SCOTTO, M.G. and FERREIRA, H. (2002). Extremes of deterministic sub-sampled moving averages with heavy-tailed innovations. Preprint Univ. of Lisbon.