



Contents

- Introduction
- Candidate Methods: CKM and TRS
- Risk Measures and Utility Measures
- Challenges, Vantages and Limitations
- Applciations to Census 2021
- Results
- Discussion

<//

	Introduction
•	Between September 2016 and August 2017, the Centre of Excellence (CoE) on Statistical Disclosure Control (SDC) maintained a Specific Grant Agreement (SGA) dedicated to the harmonisation of methods for protecting the confidentiality of aggregated Census data in the European Statistical System
•	Two methods were proposed to protect the confidentiality of these data: targeted record swapping (TRS) and cell key method (CKM).
	3 INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTATÍSTICA BIATISTICO PORTUGAL

	Advantages of TRS				
•	Being a pre-tabular method, TRS only needs to be applied once to the microdata base;				
•	then all tabulations must be obtained from the perturbed microdata;				
 All tables obtained from the microdata base after applying the are consistent (the same intersection always results in the san perturbed result) and additive (any (sub-)total of the table, by or column, is equal to the sum of the cells corresponding to th respective plots); 					
	 The application of TRS decreases the risk of disclosure of confidential information by increasing the uncertainty related to the data, namely at lower geographical levels. 				
	15 Institute nacional de Estatistica Estatende portugal				

Is it possible to get around the

limitations of TRS?

- The TRS does not modify the frequencies relating to geographical levels higher than those of the geographical hierarchy used, i.e. we can consider that these frequencies remain unprotected;
- However, the risk of confidential information disclosure associated with such frequencies is, as a rule, very low (due to the lower occurrence of both low frequencies and frequencies concentrated in a single cell per row or column).

17

Application to Portuguese Census

• Tables :

- Table 4.12 Classical households, according to the socio-economic group of the household representative, by type of household;
- Table 6.05 Resident population by age group, by nationality and sex;
- Table 6.07 Portuguese resident population born abroad by age group, by country of birth and sex;
- Table 6.21A Resident population 5 years old and over according to age group and sex
- Table 6.35 Employed resident population, by employment status and sex, by branch of economic activity and hours worked in the reference week;
- Table 6.49 Resident population aged 15 and over, by response to the question on religion.

19

- Swaprate: 5%;
- Risk_variables: gender, five-year age group, country of birth different from Portugal;
- Threshold for defining high risk (k anonymity): 3;
- Variables for finding housing-pair (similar):
 - Number of individuals in the dwelling aged < 15 years;
 - Number of males in the dwelling aged 15-64 years;
 - − Number of males in the accommodation aged ≥ 65 years;
 - Number of women in the dwelling aged 15-64 years;
 - − Number of women in dwelling aged \ge 65 years;
 - Number of persons employed or students in the dwelling.

20

			Dwellings swapped		Individuals swapped	
Scenario	Hierarchy	Level	N.	%	N	%
	NUTS3 > Municipality> Parish	NUTS3	156500	68,5	463722	69,4
		Municipality	62060	27,2	177574	26,6
1		Parish	9870	4,3	27148	4,1
		Total	228430	3.89*	668444	6,3**
2	Municipality> Parish	Municipality	197146	85,3	575914	85,4
		Parish	34096	14,7	98346	14,6
		Total	231242	3.93*	674260	6,5**
					23 INSTITUTE NACIONAL	L DE EBTATÍSTIGA

We started by applying the TRS to all records, using the parameters defined for most cases:

- threshold for minimum frequency = 3
- swaprate = 5%
- variables to determine risk = gender, decennial age groups (last group 80+) and country of birth ≠ PT.

All parishes whose % of households affected by swaps was > 10% in this first TRS application were subject to an adjustment of the TRS parameters:

- threshold for minimum frequency = 2
- swaprate = 3%
- variables to determine risk = sex, major age groups
 (<15; 15-64; >=65) and country of birth ≠ PT.

25

26

- Additionally, for municipalities with only one parish in this subset, another parish from the same municipality was randomly selected for this adjustment, to allow for exchanges at the parish level.
- We are left with a total of 979 parishes in this subset the TRS with parameter adjustment was applied to all the records of these 979 parishes; for the records of the remaining parishes, the parameters referred to in the previous paragraph were maintained.

However, even after the adjustment of the parameters in this set of parishes, we continue to verify the existence of parishes with % exchanges > 10%

not only in the set of parishes that initially had rates > 10% (in which 12 parishes with rates > 10% remained), but also in the set of parishes that after the first application of the TRS had rates < 10% (in which 269 parishes with rates > 10% appeared)

27

Results for 2021

 This can be justified by the fact that, in the initial application of the TRS, considering all the records, there may have been exchanges involving dwellings in the parishes that were subject to adjustment and which "made it impossible" to use the respective dwellings for other exchanges, namely with dwellings from these parishes in which the exchange rate increased (because a dwelling can only be selected for exchange once).

By applying the TRS for the two sets of records (with different parameters), some exchanges may have become possible in the set of parishes that were not subject to parameter adjustment.

28

In order to ensure that no parish was left with a % of dwellings affected by the exchanges > 10%, it was then necessary to reverse some of the exchanges.

- All exchanges involving dwellings in two of the parishes concerned were reversed.
- Besides these, as many exchanges were reverted as necessary to reach the 10% threshold per parish; these exchanges were randomly selected among all the existing exchanges, per parish.
- Thus, all the parishes have this % below 10%

Results for 2021 - Risk

Risk measures after RRT, scenario 1 (NUTS3>Mun>Freg), municipality of Olhão

Quadro		Freq < 3 Dados orig	Freq < 3 Dados pert	Variação Freq < 3 (%)		Freq < 3 reais	Div atrib Dados orig	Div atrib Dados pert
	Nº	1515	1502		Nº	1398	1	0
4.12	%	18,4	18,2	-0,86	% (Freq < 3)	93,1	0,0	0,0
	Nº	2887	2889	0,07	Nº	2194	0	0
6.05	%	12,2	12,2		% (Freq < 3)	75,9	0,0	0,0
	Nº	2189	2231	1,92	Nº	1743	0	0
6.07	%	10,5	10,7		% (Freq < 3)	78,1	0,0	0,0
	Nº	926	927	0,11	Nº	843	28	30
6.21A	%	11,3	11,3		% (Freq < 3)	90,9	0,3	0,4
	Nº	8577	8571	-0,07	Nº	8010	9	10
6.35	%	9,6	9,6		% (Freq < 3)	93,5	0,0	0,0
	Nº	4	3		Nº	3	0	0
6.49	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	6.7		-25,0	% (Eron + 2)	100		0.0

Results for 2021 - Utility

False zeros and false positives after TRS, scenario 1 (NUTS3>Mun>Freg), municipality of Olhão

			Falsos zeros		Falsos positivos		
Quadro	Cenário	Hierarquia	Nº	%	N⁰	%	
Q412	1	NUTS3>Mun>Freg	65	1,5	55	1,4	
Q605	1	NUTS3>Mun>Freg	437	2,7	530	7,4	
Q607	1	NUTS3>Mun>Freg	325	2,0	335	7,7	
Q621A	1	NUTS3>Mun>Freg	36	4,7	44	0,6	
Q635	1	NUTS3>Mun>Freg	344	0,5	402	2,2	
Q649	1	NUTS3>Mun>Freg	-	-	0	0,0	

31

Discussion

32

The results showed the effect of the TRS is neither evident nor substantial with respect to cells with low frequencies: depending on the frame and the municipality under analysis, the TRS can lead to a decrease, maintenance or even an increase in the number of cells with low frequencies.

Discussion

It turns out, however, that as a rule, the number of cells with actual low frequencies (i.e. whose frequency is also low in the original data) is always lower than the number of cells with low frequencies in the perturbed data.

33

Discussion	
 With regard to the risk of attribute disclosure, we find that in the generality of the tables this risk is already very low from the outset. TRS had no significant effects on this type of disclosure in the analysed tables and municipalities. 	